

P.O. Box 13425 · Mexico Beach, Florida · 32410 Phone: 850-648-5700 · Fax: 850-648-8768

May 9, 2018

Cori Henderson, Program Administrator Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. P.O. Box 12007 Tallahassee, FL 32317

Ms. Henderson,

On behalf of the City of Mexico Beach, please accept this application for Triumph Gulf Coast funding. The application is followed by four additional documents that include:

Economic Impact Analysis from the West Florida Regional Planning Council Support letter and graphs from the Mexico Beach Community Development Council Pier concept plans from Baskerville-Donovan, Inc. Mexico Beach annual financial statement

Should you require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me at your earliest convenience.

Yours Very Truly,

mele Smigielsbe

Mell Smigielski, Administrator

201 Paradise Path · Mexico Beach, FL 32410

Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. Trust Fund Application for Funds

Proposal Instructions: The Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. Trust Fund Grant Application (this document) must be completed by the entity applying for the grant and signed, as applicable, by either the individual applying for funds, an individual authorized to bind the entity applying for funds, a chief elected official, the administrator for the governmental entity or their designee. Please read the Application carefully as some questions may require a separate narrative to be completed. In addition, please complete all Addendums that may be applicable to the proposed project or program.

Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. will make awards from available funds to projects or programs that meet the priorities for economic recovery, diversification, and enhancement of the disproportionately affected counties. Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. may make awards for:

- Ad valorem tax rate reduction within disproportionately affected counties;
- Local match requirements of s. 288.0655 for projects in the disproportionately affected counties;
- Public infrastructure projects for construction, expansion, or maintenance which are shown to enhance economic recovery, diversification, and enhancement of the disproportionately affected counties;
- Grants to local governments in the disproportionately affected counties to establish and maintain equipment and trained personnel for local action plans of response to respond to disasters, such as plans created for the Coastal Impacts Assistance Program;
- Grants to support programs that prepare students for future occupations and careers at K-20 institutions that have campuses in the disproportionately affected counties. Eligible programs include those that increase students' technology skills and knowledge; encourage industry certifications; provide rigorous, alternative pathways for students to meet high school graduation requirements; strengthen career readiness initiatives; fund high-demand programs of emphasis at the bachelor's and master's level designated by the Board of Governors; and, similar to or the same as talent retention programs created by the Chancellor of the State University System and the Commission of Education; encourage students with interest or aptitude for science, technology, engineering, mathematics, and medical disciplines to pursue postsecondary education at a state university or a Florida College System institution within the disproportionately affected counties;
- Grants to support programs that provide participants in the disproportionately affected counties with transferable, sustainable workforce skills that are not confined to a single employer; and
- Grants to the tourism entity created under s. 288.1226 for the purpose of advertising and promoting tourism and Fresh From Florida, and grants to promote workforce and infrastructure, on behalf of all of the disproportionately affected counties.

Pursuant to Florida Law, Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. will provide priority consideration to Applications for projects or programs that:

- Generate maximum estimated economic benefits, based on tools and models not generally employed by economic input-output analyses, including cost-benefit, return-on-investment, or dynamic scoring techniques to determine how the long-term economic growth potential of the disproportionately affected counties may be enhanced by the investment.
- Increase household income in the disproportionately affected counties above national average household income.
- Leverage or further enhance key regional assets, including educational institutions, research facilities, and military bases.
- Partner with local governments to provide funds, infrastructure, land, or other assistance for the project.
- Benefit the environment, in addition to the economy.
- Provide outcome measures.
- Partner with K-20 educational institutions or school districts located within the disproportionately affected counties as of January 1, 2017.
- Are recommended by the board of county commissioners of the county in which the project or program will be located.
- Partner with convention and visitor bureaus, tourist development councils, or chambers of commerce located within the disproportionately affected counties.

Additionally, the Board of Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. may provide discretionary priority to consideration of Applications for projects and programs that:

- Are considered transformational for the future of the Northwest Florida region.
- May be consummated quickly and efficiently.
- Promote net-new jobs in the private sector with an income above regional average household income.
- Align with Northwest Florida FORWARD, the regional strategic initiative for Northwest Florida economic transformation.
- Create net-new jobs in targeted industries to include: aerospace and defense, financial services/shared services, water transportation, artificial intelligence, cybersecurity, information technology, manufacturing, and robotics.
- Promote industry cluster impact for unique targeted industries.
- Create net-new jobs with wages above national average wage (e.g., similar to EFI QTI program, measured on graduated scale).
- Are located in Rural Area of Opportunity as defined by the State of Florida (DEO).
- Provide a wider regional impact versus solely local impact.
- Align with other similar programs across the regions for greater regional impact, and not be duplicative of other existing projects or programs.
- Enhance research and innovative technologies in the region.
- Enhance a targeted industry cluster or create a Center of Excellence unique to Northwest Florida.

- Create a unique asset in the region that can be leveraged for regional growth of targeted industries.
- Demonstrate long-term financial sustainability following Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. funding.
- Leverage funding from other government and private entity sources.
- Provide local investment and spending.
- Are supported by more than one governmental entity and/or private sector companies, in particular proposed projects or programs supported by more than one county in the region.
- Provide clear performance metrics over duration of project or program.
- Include deliverables-based payment system dependent upon achievement of interim performance metrics.
- Provide capacity building support for regional economic growth.
- Are environmentally conscious and business focused.
- Include Applicant and selected partners/vendors located in Northwest Florida.

Applications will be evaluated and scored based on compliance with the statutory requirements of the Triumph Gulf Coast legislation, including but not limited to the priorities identified therein and the geographic region served by the proposed project or program.

Applicant Information

Name of Individual (if applying in individual capacity): N/A

Name of Entity/Organization: City of Mexico Beach

Background of Applicant Individual/Entity/Organization: The City of Mexico Beach was incorporated in 1967

Federal Employer Identification Number: 59-1220917

Contact Information:

Primary Contact Information:	Mell Smigielski
Title:	City Administrator
Mailing Address:	PO Box 13425 Mexico Beach, FL 32410
Phone:	(850) 648-5700 x203
Email:	mell@mexicobeachgov.com
Website:	www.mexicobeachgov.com

Identify any co-applicants, partners, or other entities or organizations that will have a role in the proposed project or program and such partners proposed roles.

The Mexico Beach Community Development Council has pledged their support which includes financial support. Attached to this application is their letter of support.

Total amount of funding requested from Triumph Gulf Coast:

Mexico Beach is asking for \$3.7 million, which is 50% of the project. The total project cost estimate is \$7.4 million.

Has the applicant in the past requested or applied for funds for all or part of the proposed project/program?

Yes

If yes, please provide detailed information concerning the prior request for funding, including:

- the date the request/application for funding was made;
- the source to which the request/application for funding was made,
- the results of the request/application for funding, and
- projected or realized results and/or outcomes from prior funding.

7-11-2016	Restore Act	Project 1568	Mexic	o Beach Pier R	epairs
	Structural rep	airs to existing	pier	\$189,172.50	No funding to date

2-27-2018 Restore Act Project 1568 Mexico Beach Pier Repair via replace Construction of new concrete pier \$3,700,000.00 Update to previous submittal from 7-11-2016 No funding to date

Describe the financial status of the applicant and any co-applicants or partners:

The City of Mexico Beach has a solid financial status, but like so many smaller communities, are not flush with cash nor can we effectively finance major infrastructure projects outside of normal maintenance.

In a separate attachment, please provide financial statements or information that details the financial status of the applicant and any co-applicants or partners.

The latest City audit is attached.

Has the applicant or any co-applicants, partners or any associated or affiliated entities or individuals filed for bankruptcy in the last ten (10) years?

No

<u>Eligibility</u>

Pursuant to Section 288.8017, Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. was created to make awards from available funds to projects or programs that meet the priorities for economic recovery, diversification, and enhancement of the disproportionately affected counties. The disproportionately affected counties are: Bay County, Escambia County, Franklin County, Gulf County, Okaloosa County, Santa Rosa County, Walton County, or Wakulla County. *See*, Section 288.08012.

- 1. From the choices below, please check the box that describes the purpose of the proposed project or program (check all that apply):
 - Ad valorem tax rate reduction within disproportionately affected counties;
 - \Box Local match requirements of s. 288.0655 for projects in the disproportionately affected counties;
 - Public infrastructure projects for construction, expansion, or maintenance which are shown to enhance economic recovery, diversification, and enhancement of the disproportionately affected counties;
 - Grants to local governments in the disproportionately affected counties to establish and maintain equipment and trained personnel for local action plans of response to respond to disasters, such as plans created for the Coastal Impacts Assistance Program;
 - Grants to support programs that prepare students for future occupations and careers at K-20 institutions that have campuses in the disproportionately affected counties. Eligible programs include those that increase students' technology skills and knowledge; encourage industry certifications; provide rigorous, alternative pathways for students to meet high school graduation requirements; strengthen career readiness initiatives; fund high-demand programs of emphasis at the bachelor's and master's level designated by the Board of Governors; and, similar to or the same as talent retention programs created by the Chancellor of the State University System and the Commission of Education, encourage students with interest or aptitude for science, technology, engineering, mathematics, and medical disciplines to pursue postsecondary education at a state university or a Florida College System institution within the disproportionately affected counties; Grants to support programs that provide participants in the disproportionately affected counties with transferable, sustainable workforce skills that are not confined to a single employer; and
 - Grants to the tourism entity created under s. 288.1226 for the purpose of advertising and promoting tourism and Fresh From Florida, and grants to promote workforce and infrastructure, on behalf of all of the disproportionately affected counties.

2. Provide the title and a detailed description of the proposed project or program, including the location of the proposed project or program, a detailed description of, and quantitative evidence demonstrating how the proposed project or program will promote economic recovery, diversification, and enhancement of the disproportionately affected counties, a proposed timeline for the proposed project or program, and the disproportionately affected counties that will be impacted by the proposed project or program.

Title:

Mexico Beach Pier Replacement

State of the current pier:

The current wooden pier was built in three sections. The 1965 pier was built 400 feet over existing dunes to the waterline. The part of the pier extending out into the Gulf was destroyed by Hurricane Eloise in 1975. The second section in 2006 extended the pier 150 feet into the Gulf. The third section in 2008 pushed the pier another 260 feet into the Gulf which included a 60 foot "T" section at the end. The entire pier is 810 feet long, 14 feet wide and constructed of timber beams and timber pilings except most of the pilings in the "T" are fiberglass with some steel bracing. The deck is approximately 16 feet above the standing water level.

Adverse weather conditions force the City to close the "T" and often the whole pier due to safety concerns. We have fireworks displays on July 4 and December 31 of each year. We have been forced to move the display apparatus off of the "T" for safety reasons as well. This forces the fallout area of the fireworks to be expanded shifting the public further away from the pier; not to mention the potential of damage to native grasses and homes near the beach. Every storm that hits Mexico Beach has us wondering if this is the one that takes out the wooden pier. The low height of the current pier allows for waves from storms to crash at or above the pier deck.

Description of the project:

Location

The proposed pier will replace the current pier that extends from 37th Street into the Gulf. The new pier is designed to extend 1,000 feet into the Gulf compared to the current pier length at 810 feet.

Evidence of economic recovery, diversification and enhancement

We contracted with the West Florida Regional Planning Council to help identify the regional impact of building a new pier in Mexico Beach. The WFRPC utilized REMI Software to determine the Pier will stimulate the region by 327.5 million dollars over the period of 2019 to 2030. The 7.4 million dollar investment provides a 35% annualized return on investment through 2030. In addition, the regional job market will increase by 3,644 private non-farm jobs during the same period.

Proposed timeline

Engineering, permitting and construction will take 2.5 years. The City of Mexico Beach did contract with Baskerville-Donovan to provide a preliminary design and cost estimate.

3. Explain how the proposed project or program is considered transformational and how it will effect the disproportionately affected counties in the next ten (10) years.

Our pier is one of the top attractions we have to offer the public. It is more than a fishing pier as much as a meeting place for the public. During the course of the normal fishing season, our office is inundated with calls from the public asking if our pier is open and safe. They simply want to know so they don't have a wasted trip. Hopefully someday there will be no need for the phone calls or doubt about the integrity of the pier.

We contracted with the West Florida Regional Planning Council to help identify the regional impact of building a new pier in Mexico Beach. The WFRPC utilized REMI Software to determine the Pier will stimulate the region by 327.5 million dollars over the period of 2019 to 2030. The 7.4 million dollar investment provides a 35% annualized return on investment through 2030. In addition, the regional job market will increase by 3,644 private non-farm jobs during the same period.

4. Describe data or information available to demonstrate the viability of the proposed project or program.

Evidence of economic recovery, diversification and enhancement

We contracted with the West Florida Regional Planning Council to help identify the regional impact of building a new pier in Mexico Beach. The WFRPC utilized REMI Software to determine the Pier will stimulate the region by 327.5 million dollars over the period of 2019 to 2030. The 7.4 million dollar investment provides a 35% annualized return on investment through 2030. In addition, the regional job market will increase by 3,644 private non-farm jobs during the same period.

5. Describe how the impacts to the disproportionately affected counties will be measured long term.

Increases in Job growth and Gross Domestic Product.

6. Describe how the proposed project or program is sustainable. (Note: Sustainable means how the proposed project or program will remain financially viable and continue to perform in the long-term after Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. funding.)

Upon the completion of the pier, the City of Mexico Beach Public Works Department will be responsible for maintenance.

7. Describe how the deliverables for the proposed project or program will be measured.

N/A.

Priorities

- 1. Please check the box if the proposed project or program will meet any of the following priorities (check all that apply):
 - ☐ Generate maximum estimated economic benefits, based on tools and models not generally employed by economic input-output analyses, including costbenefit, return-on-investment, or dynamic scoring techniques to determine how the longterm economic growth potential of the disproportionately affected counties may be enhanced by the investment.
 - □ Increase household income in the disproportionately affected counties above national average household income.
 - **Leverage or further enhance key regional assets, including educational institutions, research facilities, and military bases.**
 - **Partner with local governments to provide funds, infrastructure, land, or other assistance for the project.**
 - **Benefit the environment, in addition to the economy.**
 - **Provide outcome measures.**
 - □ Partner with K-20 educational institutions or school districts located within the disproportionately affected counties as of January 1, 2017.
 - □ Are recommended by the board of county commissioners of the county in which the project or program will be located.
 - ☑ Partner with convention and visitor bureaus, tourist development councils, or chambers of commerce located within the disproportionately affected counties.

2. Please explain how the proposed project meets the priorities identified above.

The new pier will provide a much safer environment for passive recreation. The partnership with the Mexico Beach Community Development will also provide marketing services as well as financial support.

3. Please explain how the proposed project or program meets the discretionary priorities identified by the Board.

Though the pier replacement is rural and local in nature, the economic impact is regional by creating jobs in many different areas. Visitors will spend their money locally and regionally and further enhance business opportunities.

4. In which of the eight disproportionately affected county/counties is the proposed project or program located?

Bay

5. Was this proposed project or program on a list of proposed projects and programs submitted to Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc., by one (or more) of the eight disproportionately affected Counties as a project and program located within its county?

Yes

If yes, list all Counties that apply:

Bay County

6. Does the Board of County Commissioners for each County listed in response to question 5, above, recommend this project or program to Triumph?

No

****Please attach proof of recommendation(s) from each County identified.**

N/A

Approvals and Authority

1. If the Applicant is awarded grant funds based on this proposal, what approvals must be obtained before Applicant can execute an agreement with Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc.?

City Council approval.

2. If approval of a board, commission, council or other group is needed prior to execution of an agreement between the entity and Triumph Gulf Coast:

A. Provide the schedule of upcoming meetings for the group for a period of at least six months.

June 12 and 26 July 10 and 24 August 14 and 28 September 11 and 25 October 9 and 23 November 13 and 27

B. State whether that group can hold special meetings, and if so, upon how many days' notice.

Special meetings may be held with two days notice.

3. Describe the timeline for the proposed project or program if an award of funding is approved, including milestones that will be achieved following an award through completion of the proposed project or program.

Engineering and permitting will take nearly one year. Construction will take just over one year.

4. Attach evidence that the undersigned has all necessary authority to execute this proposal on behalf of the entity applying for funding. This evidence may take a variety of forms, including but not limited to: a delegation of authority, citation to relevant laws or codes, policy documents, etc. In addition, please attach any support letters from partners.

N/A.

Funding and Budget:

Pursuant to Section 288.8017, awards may not be used to finance 100 percent of any project or program. An awardee may not receive all of the funds available in any given year.

1. Identify the amount of funding sought from Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. and the time period over which funding is requested.

The City of Mexico Beach is requesting 50% of the total project cost. \$3,700,000.00 is requested over a two-year period. Year one will need \$250,000.00 for engineering and permitting with the remainder needed in year two and three for construction.

2. What percentage of total program or project costs does the requested award from Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. represent? (Please note that an award of funding will be for a defined monetary amount and will not be based on percentage of projected project costs.)

50%

3. Please describe the types and number of jobs expected from the proposed project or program and the expected average wage.

A total of 3,644 jobs will be created as a result of the pier. The total number encompasses a wide range of categories.

4. Does the potential award supplement but not supplant existing funding sources? If yes, describe how the potential award supplements existing funding sources.

No

5. Please provide a Project/Program Budget. Include all applicable costs and other funding sources available to support the proposal.

Example Costs (Note: Not exhaustive list of possible Cost categories.)	
Construction	6,300,000.00
Reconstruction	0
Design & Engineering	254,775.00
Land Acquisition	0
Land Improvement	0
Equipment	0
Supplies	0
Other (specify) Monitoring, Supervision and 10 % contingency	885,227.00
Total Project Costs:	\$7,440,002.00
B. Other Project Funding Sources:	
Example Funding Sources (Note: Not an exhaustive list of possible Funding Sources.)	
City/County	840,002.00
Private Sources	0
Other (e.g., grants, etc.)	2,900,000.00
Total Other Funding	\$3,740,002.00
Total Amount Requested:	\$3,700,000.00

Note: The total amount requested must equal the difference between the costs in 3A. and the other project funding sources in 3.B.

B. Provide a detailed budget narrative, including the timing and steps necessary to obtain the funding and any other pertinent budget-related information.

Mexico Beach is actively seeking other funding partners including State appropriations, Restore Act funds and other available grants.

Applicant understands that the Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. statute requires that the award contract must include provisions requiring a performance report on the contracted activities, must account for the proper use of funds provided under the contract, and must include provisions for recovery of awards in the event the award was based upon fraudulent information or the awardee is not meeting the performance requirements of the award.

Yes

Applicant understands that awardees must regularly report to Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. the expenditure of funds and the status of the project or program on a schedule determined by Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc.

Yes

Applicant acknowledges that Applicant and any co-Applicants will make books and records and other financial data available to Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. as necessary to measure and confirm performance metrics and deliverables.

Yes

Applicant acknowledges that Triumph Gulf Coast, Inc. reserves the right to request additional information from Applicant concerning the proposed project or program.

Yes

ADDENDUM FOR INFRASTRUCTURE PROPOSALS:

1. Program Requirements

- A. Is the infrastructure owned by the public? Yes.
- **B.** Is the infrastructure for public use or does it predominately benefit the public?

Yes, public use.

C. Will the public infrastructure improvements be for the exclusive benefit of any single company, corporation or business entity?

No.

D. Provide a detailed explanation of how the public infrastructure improvements will connect to a broader economic development vision for the community and benefit additional current and future businesses.

We contracted with the West Florida Regional Planning Council to help identify the regional impact of building a new pier in Mexico Beach. The WFRPC utilized REMI Software to determine the Pier will stimulate the region by 327.5 million dollars over the period of 2019 to 2030. The 7.4 million dollar investment provides a 35% annualized return on investment through 2030. In addition, the regional job market will increase by 3,644 private non-farm jobs during the same period.

E. Provide a detailed description of, and quantitative evidence demonstrating how the proposed public infrastructure project will promote:

- Economic recovery,
- Economic Diversification,
- Enhancement of the disproportionately affected counties,
- Enhancement of a Targeted Industry.

We contracted with the West Florida Regional Planning Council to help identify the regional impact of building a new pier in Mexico Beach. The WFRPC utilized REMI Software to determine the Pier will stimulate the region by 327.5 million dollars over the period of 2019 to 2030. The 7.4 million dollar investment provides a 35% annualized return on investment through 2030. In addition, the regional job market will increase by 3,644 private non-farm jobs during the same period.

2. Additional Information

A. Is this project an expansion of existing infrastructure project? Yes. Current wooden pier is in a state of disrepair.

B. Provide the proposed beginning commencement date and number of days required to complete construction of the infrastructure project.

The commencement date will begin immediately after contract completion. Permitting and engineering will take 1 year, or 365 days. Construction will take 1 ¹/₂ years or approximately 550 days.

C. What is the location of the public infrastructure? (Provide the road number, if applicable.)

Reference monument R129.2, commonly known as the southern end of 37th Street.

D. Who is responsible for maintenance and upkeep? (Indicate if more than one are applicable.)

City of Mexico Beach Public Works Department.

E. What permits are necessary for the infrastructure project?

Submerged lands permit. Department of Environmental Protection & US Army Corps of Engineers.

Detail whether required permits have been secured, and if not, detail the timeline for securing these permits. Additionally, if any required permits are local permits, will these permits be prioritized?

Current submerged lands permit will need to be expanded. Securing the permits, along with the engineering needed, will take one year.

F. What is the future land use and zoning designation on the proposed site of the Infrastructure improvement, and will the improvements conform to those uses?

Both the Future Land Use Map and Zoning map has the upland parcels zoned Tourist Residential. The beach area between the pier and the upland parcels is zoned as Preservation. As this is a replacement and extension of a current structure, conformity is not an issue. G. Will an amendment to the local comprehensive plan or a development order be required on the site of the proposed project or on adjacent property to accommodate the infrastructure and potential current or future job creation opportunities? If yes, please detail the timeline

No

H. Does this project have a local match amount? If yes, please describe the entity providing the match and the amount.

Yes. The Mexico Beach Community Development Council has pledged their financial support. At this point the financial match has not been determined.

Provide any additional information or attachments to be considered for this proposal.

Attachments:

Economic Impact Analysis from the West Florida Regional Planning Council

Support letter and graphs from the Mexico Beach Community Development Council

Pier concept plans from Baskerville-Donovan, Inc.

Mexico Beach annual financial statement

I, the undersigned, do hereby certify that I have express authority to sign this proposal on my behalf or on behalf of the above-described entity, organization, or governmental entity:

Name of Applicant: City of Mexico Beach

Name and Title of Authorized Representative: Mell Smigielski, City Administrator

mee Smigielsbi

Representative Signature: Signature Date: May 9, 2018

10/13/2017 FINAL



Economic Impact Analysis

Mexico Beach, FL

Community & Economic Development

West Florida Regional Planning Council | Since 1964 – 50 years and still growing! 36474 A Emerald Coast Parkway Suite 1201. Destin, FL 32541 850-332-7985: ext 210 | Toll Free: 800-226-8914 | F: 850-637-1923 | www.wfrpc.org Economic Impact Assessment: Mexico City Beach, FI

Mexico Beach, FL Overview

The Purpose of this Economic Impact Assessment is to determine the economic viability of constructing a new Pier/Board-Walk in Mexico Beach, FL.

Mexico Beach, Incorporated in 1967; is a coastal and waterfront community, in Bay County, Florida. It is part of the Panama City–Lynn Haven area. The small coastal community of Mexico Beach is located 20 miles east of Panama City. Mexico Beach offers a small-town atmosphere filled with the charm of the Florida Panhandle.

Mexico Beach is challenged like many coastal waterfront communities that are often subject to conventional development patterns, which threaten the assets they treasure most. However, smart growth approaches guided by a set of principles can assist the community of Mexico Beach to grow. The community is poised for opportunities to expand economic opportunity place-based economic development; while protecting public health, the environment, and protect the traditional sense of place.



Map Source: ARCgis online www.arcgis.com 2018

Images of Mexico Beach

Mexico Beach, FL Socio-Economic Baseline Indicators

Mexico Beach, FL Baseline Population Analysis



Trend analysis for Population in Mexico Beach, Fl reveals the following;

- From 2000 to 2010 the population increased by 530 person or 28.6%
- Projected population by 2030 is anticipated to reach 2912; an increase of 36.4% from 2010

Figure 1: Population Demographics Source: (http://factfinder.census.gov/2000, and 2010 census data)

Mexico Beach, FL is experiencing a positive population trend. What does this mean? It means Mexico Beach is progressively becoming fundamentally desirable. In long-range planning terms, this translates into an expanded workforce. New residents mean new customers for local businesses, which in turn increases tax revenue; with more revenue, cities can invest more in roads, public transit, schools, the arts, and parks.



Figure 2: Median Age Demographics Source: (http://factfinder.census.gov/2000, and 2010 census data)

Trend analysis for Median Age in Mexico Beach, Fl reveals the following;

- From 2000 to 2010 the median age of persons living in Mexico Beach increased from 54 to 55 years of age.
- The projected median age of the community by 2030 is anticipated to reach 57 years of age.
- Analysis reveals that a large segment of the community will continue to be retirees well beyond the year 2030.

Mexico Beach, FL population aging is a shift in the distribution of a city's population towards older ages. The analysis shows this is reflected in an increase in the population's median ages, a decline in the proportion of the population composed of children, and a rise in the percentage of the population consisting of elderly.

Opportunities for Mexico Beach: implement place-based economic development attractions to foster the influx of a younger demographic between the ages of 24-36.

Housing 2,912 3,000 2.382 2,500 1.852 2,000 1.322 1,500 1,000 500 Total Housing **Total Housing** Projected Projected Units 2000 Units 2010 Housing Units Housing Units 2020 2030

Mexico Beach, FL Baseline Housing Analysis

Figure 3: Housing Demographics Source: (http://factfinder.census.gov/2000, and 2010 census data) Trend analysis for Housing in Mexico Beach, Fl reveals the following;

- From 2000 to 2010 the new homes increased by 520 or 28.1%
- Projected new homes by 2030 is anticipated to reach 2,912; an increase of 36.4% from 2010

Population and housing needs are often driven by a positive correlation. As the population of a city increases so does the demand on the housing market. In Mexico Beach, research reveals that the ratio for housing and persons residing in the community are a 1 to 1 ratio.

Opportunities for Mexico Beach: with a trending population growth well beyond 2030 and plans to attract more place-based economic development, there are opportunities to develop more multi-family rental properties to the city.

Mexico Beach, FL Baseline Median Household Income Analysis



Figure 4: Median Household Demographics Source: (http://factfinder.census.gov/2000, and 2010 census data)

Trend analysis for Household Income in Mexico Beach, Fl reveals the following;

- From 2000 to 2010 the MHI increased by \$12,906 or 28.8%
- Projected MHI by 2030 is anticipated to reach \$70,668; an increase of 36.5% from 2010.

Median household income is a useful indicator of an areas standard of living. Higher income levels often translate into more discretionary income for a geographical area to recycle into the economy. Mexico Beach is projecting positive trends in household income. These indicators are good news for investors and lenders seeking opportunities with trade lines and business development.

Mexico Beach, FL Baseline Income in Households Analysis

	YEARS	2000	2010	2020	2030
_	Less than \$10,000	65	5	0	0
INCOME	\$10,000 to \$14,999	42	11	0	0
Ň.	\$15,000 to \$24,999	98	55	40	0
Z T	\$25,000 to \$34,999	88	121	147	165
I OU	\$35,000 to \$49,999	87	188	214	253
HOUSEHOLDS	\$50,000 to \$74,999	65	82	92	108
OLD	\$75,000 to \$99,999	34	43	47	50
S	\$100,000 or more	46	59	61	63
	TOTALS	525	563	601	639

Figure 5A: Income Demographics Source: (http://factfinder.census.gov/2000, and 2010 census data)



Trend analysis for Income in Households in Mexico Beach, Fl reveals the following;

- From 2000 to 2010 the number of persons living at or below the poverty line decreased by 31 persons or 73.8%.
- In 2010 there were 372 or 67.1% of the total working population, with income at or above the median household income of \$44,856.
- Year 2030 projections anticipate the community will have 0 persons living below the poverty line, and 221 or 35% with an income above the MHI.
- By 2030 projections anticipate 10% of the working population will earn more than 100k per year.

Figure 5B: Household Demographics Source: (http://factfinder.census.gov/2000, and 2010 census data)

Mexico Beach is experiencing growth in the income levels of citizens. The analysis shows correlation with a tightening labor market, and government policies, which are helping boost wages for low-income households; while minimum-wage increases at the bottom are starting to help lift some families out of poverty. Projections show that by the year 2030, 35% of the population will earn income above 50k annually.

Mexico Beach, FL Baseline Median Job Industry Analysis

nd over	YR-2000	YR-2010	PROJ YR-2020	PROJ YR-203
Construction	61	72	83	94
Educational services, and health care and social assistance	60	84	108	132
Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services	56	63	70	77
Public administration	56	44	32	20
Retail trade	47	49	51	53
Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services	44	64	84	104
inance and insurance, and real estate and rental and leasing	23	31	39	47
Other services, except public administration	16	25	34	43
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities	12	16	20	24
Manufacturing	8	12	16	20
Wholesale trade	6	17	28	39
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining	2	20	38	56
Information	1	3	5	7
Totals	392	500	608	716

Figure 6: Job Industry Demographics Source: Source:(http://factfinder.census.gov/2000, and 2010 census data)

Trend analysis for Civilian employed population 16 years and over in Mexico Beach, Fl reveals the following;

- From 2000 to 2010 the top 5 job industries in Mexico beach were the following;
 - 1. Construction
 - 2. Educational services, and health care and social assistance
 - Professional, scientific, and management, and administrative and waste management services
 Public administration
 - 5. Retail trade

•

- Projected trends anticipate the top 5 industries above will continue to dominate well into 2030.
- Projected trends anticipate a continued influx of Agriculture forestry and fishing industry from 2020 to 2030.

The economic health of Mexico City is trending upward. The city is poised for increased job opportunities and sustainability; making it an ideal geographic area to invest development dollars with great returns.

Mexico Beach Job Industry Opportunities: analysis identified sectors in tourism, hotels, restaurants and the hospitality/service industry.

Economic Impact Assessment: Bay County, Fl with respect to new Boardwalk/ Pier in Mexico Beach, FL

Regional Impacts to Baseline Jobs

Project: Mexico Beach, FL Board Walk Project Cost: 7.4 Million Projected Construction Time: 2.5 years

Category	Units	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	Total Value Added by 2030	Total Value Added in Jobs by 2030
Administrative and Waste Management Services	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.007	0.007	0.047	0.085	0.084	0.082	0.081	0.079	0.078	0.076	0.075	0.074	0.78	776
Forestry, Fishing, and Related Activities	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.032	0.061	0.060	0.059	0.057	0.056	0.054	0.053	0.052	0.050	0.53	533
Accommodation and Food Services	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0								0.044				0.041	0.42	425
Construction	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.045	0.048	0.038	0.033	0.037	0.037	0.034	0.031	0.027	0.023	0.020	0.018	0.39	392
Real Estate and Rental and Leasing	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.027	0.026	0.028	0.031	0.031	0.030	0.030	0.029	0.028	0.028	0.027	0.027	0.34	342
Retail Trade	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.006	0.007	0.021	0.036	0.036	0.035	0.034	0.033	0.032	0.031	0.031	0.030	0.33	333
Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.017	0.034	0.033	0.033	0.032	0.031	0.031	0.030	0.030	0.029	0.30	301
Wholesale Trade	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.001	0.001	0.013	0.025	0.024	0.024	0.023	0.023	0.022	0.021	0.021	0.020	0.22	217
Professional, Scientific, and Technical Services	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.008	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.009	0.008	0.09	90
Health Care and Social Assistance	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.08	82
Other Services, except Public Administration	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.003	0.003	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.008	0.007	0.007	0.006	0.006	0.005	0.005	0.07	71
Finance and Insurance	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.03	28
Manufacturing	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.01	15
Transportation and Warehousing	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.01	12
nformation	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.01	8
Utilities	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.01	6
Vining	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.01	6
Management of Companies and	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.01	
Enterprises Educational services; private	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0	0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.01	5
											Total	Value	e Adde	nd in I	obc by	1 2020	, ,		3644

Figure 7: Regional Job Industry Impacts: Bay County, FL: Implementing a new boardwalk/pier in Mexico Beach, FL Data Analysis processed by REMI Software, 2018 all rights reserved.

Creating a Placed-Based Economic Development Project such as the Boardwalk in Mexico Beach, can have a significant impact on the local and regional economy. Trend analysis for implementing a new boardwalk/pier in Mexico Beach, Fl will stimulate the job market at an estimated 3,644 in private non-farm jobs across the region by 2030. (see figure 7)

Regional Impacts to Baseline GDP

Project: Mexico Beach, FL Board Walk Project Cost: 7.4 Million Projected Construction Time: 2.5 years

Category	Units	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
eal Gro Domes roduct ed Dolla	Billions of Fixed (2009) Dollars	0	0	0.012	0.013	0.021	0.031	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.032	0.031	0.031	0.030	0.030
LL.	Value Added	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 12,140,312	\$12,620,164	\$ 21,278,373	\$ 31,312,111	\$ 31,991,095	\$32,160,427	\$31,942,510	\$31,577,472	\$31,166,228	\$ 30,778,489	\$30,443,037	\$ 30,179,200
7	-													Total Value Added to GDP by 2030	\$ 327,589,417

Figure 8: Regional GDP Impacts: Bay County, FL: Implementing a new boardwalk/pier in Mexico Beach, FL Data Analysis processed by REMI Software, 2018 all rights reserved.

Real Gross Domestic Product

Real Gross Domestic Product is an economic indicator that looks at inflation-adjusted measure that reflects the value of all goods and services produced in a year, in based year prices. The Mexico Beach Board walk project is projected to stimulate an additional \$327.5 Million to the region from 2019 to 2030 in goods and services produced. (see figure 8)

Regional Impacts to Baseline Summary Table

Project: Mexico Beach, FL Board Walk Project Cost: 7.4 Million Projected Construction Time: 2.5 years

Category	Units	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	TVAM	TVA	DOLLARS BY 2030
Total Employment	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0.10	0.11	0.26	0.41	0.41	0.40	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.34	3.87	ć	3,874
Private Non- Farm Employment	Thousands (Jobs)	0	0	0.10	0.10	0.24	0.39	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.34	0.33	0.32	0.31	5.87	Ş	5,874
Linpioyment																3.64	\$	3,644
Residence Adjusted Employment	Thousands	0	0	0.10	0.10	0.24	0.38	0.39	0.38	0.37	0.36	0.35	0.34	0.33	0.32			
Employment																3.69	\$	3,687
Population	Thousands	0	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.15	0.21	0.26	0.31	0.34	0.37	0.39	0.41	0.43	3.03	\$	3,026
Labor Force	Thousands	0	0	0.02	0.04	0.08	0.14	0.18	0.21	0.23	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.27	2.21	\$	2,207
Gross Domestic	Billions of Fixed (2009) Dollars	0	0	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03			
Product																0.33	\$	327,589,417
Output	Billions of Fixed (2009) Dollars	0	0	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.49	\$	485,820,757
Value Added	Billions of Fixed (2009) Dollars	0	0	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.33	\$	327,589,417
Personal Income	Billions of Current Dollars	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.23	\$	227,861,937
Disposable Personal Income	Billions of Current Dollars	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.20	\$	195,482,333
Real Disposable Personal	Billions of Fixed (2009) Dollars	0	0	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01			
Income																0.12	\$	120,259,354
PCE-Price Index	2009=100 (Nation)	0	0	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.33		33.03

Figure 8: Regional Impacts: Bay County, FL: Implementing a new boardwalk/pier in Mexico Beach, FL Data Analysis processed by REMI Software, 2018 all rights reserved.



March 6, 2018

Dear Triumph Gulf Coast Board of Directors,

The City of Mexico Beach Florida is not like your average beachside community, as some would say. With the Gulf of Mexico as its coastline, the endless possibilities of adventure and leisure await at one's fingertips. It is because of this coastline that Mexico Beach is able to attract travelers from all over the world, yet the city is able to stay true to the quiet fisherman's community that many have come to know and love.

As many Florida Panhandle coastal communities continue to grow in the number of visitors to the area, these visitors evolve into permanent residents, many purchasing homes and land. This continued growth creates an economic impact that can generate a boost in the local economy. The Mexico Beach Community Development Council, a component unit of the Bay County Tourism Development Council, recognizes the impact that visitors create for the City and understand the constant financial demand that is created to keep Mexico Beach pristine and beautiful.

A key landmark for Mexico Beach is the City Pier, located on South 37th Street. This wooden pier is 877 feet long that boasts a wide "T" style ending that stretches past the first sandbar. Many residents and visitors enjoy fishing, walking, bird watching and many other activities daily on the pier. This past 2017 hurricane season brought rain, winds and strong seas that directly impacted the pier with significant damage. While many of the damages have been repaired, it has become more apparent that a more structurally stable pier is needed.

The Mexico Beach Community Development Council agrees that the City Pier is a vital asset to the City and all those who enjoy its use. A more stable pier would only enhance the economic development for the City of Mexico Beach and would allow funds that are previously being utilized to patch the existing pier, to be allocated on other areas for the City. The Mexico Beach Community Development Council has agreed to support the efforts of the City of Mexico Beach to secure funding through Triumph and is also willing to financially assist should it be needed.

On behalf of the Mexico Beach Community Development Council, we would appreciate full consideration towards the City of Mexico Beach's Triumph Grant Application for the City Pier. The development of a new pier will generate a boost in economic growth for the city from visitors and residents.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Shoaf President Mexico Beach Community Development Council

Mexico Beach Tourist Development Tax Cumulative Collections Fiscal Year 2014-2017





Mexico Beach Tourist Development Monthly Collection Comparison Fiscal Year 2014-2017









NOT TO SCALE SECTION 22, TOWNSHIP 3 SOUTH AND RANGE 12 WEST

CONCEPT PLANS FOR MEXICO BEACH PIER REPLACEMENT

PREPARED FOR: CITY OF MEXICO BEACH BAY COUNTY FLORIDA

OCTOBER 2017



CITY OF MEXICO BEACH CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

AL CATHEY BILL McGLOTHLIN LINDA ALBRECHT JERRY WALLACE REX PUTNAL MAYOR COUNCIL MEMBER COUNCIL MEMBER COUNCIL MEMBER

PREPARED BY:



449 WEST MAIN STREET PENSACOLA, FLORIDA 32502 (850) 438-9661 PENSACOLA - PANAMA CITY BEACH - TALLAHASSEE - MOBILE

ENGINEERING BUSINESS: EB-0000340

SHEET INDEX

G-000	COVER
C-100	EXISTING SITE PLAN
C-101	SUBMERGED LAND LEASE BOUNDARY
S-101	PLAN AND ELEVATION
S-102	TYPICAL SECTION AND SPAN ELEVATION

ENGINEER'S PROJECT No.: 90621.01





date: 10/31/17





BASKERVILLE-DONOVAN, INC. Innovative Infrastructure Solutions





date: 10/31/17





BASKERVILLE-DONOVAN, INC. Innovative Infrastructure Solutions





date: 10/31/17





BASKERVILLE-DONOVAN, INC. Innovative Infrastructure Solutions





date: 10/31/17

MEXICO BEACH PIER MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA



BASKERVILLE-DONOVAN, INC. Innovative Infrastructure Solutions






		C	, -	-1	0	0	
A			EXISTING SITE PLAN				
-	PROJECT NO:	90621.01	DESIGNED BY: DKH	DRAWN BY: MLW	CHK'D BY: DKH	PROJ. MGR: DKH	DATE: OCTOBER 2017
	NO. D/						NOT RELEA
	DATE APPR.						NOT RFIFASED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY
B							<u>STRUCTION BY DATF / / </u>
C		CUNCEPI FLANS FUR	MEXICO REACH PIER				
						DAVID K. HEMPHILL	HILL NGG. CURASCAPE ALCHIN
Γ.		E BASKERVILLE-DONOVAN, INC.		449 WEST MAIN STREET, PENSACOLA, FL 32502 (850) 438-9661		toot This drawing is the property of BASKERVILLE-DOMOVAN INC and is not to be reproduced in whole or in	
		ONOVAN, INC	ucture solution	FL 32502 (850) 438-9661	EB-UUUU34U Tollohooooo Machilo	Tallariassee - Mobile and is not to be reproduced in whole or	nd is to be returned upon request.





p	DAVID K. HEMPHILL DAVID K. HEMPHILL DAVID K. HEMPHILL Pensacola - Reg. Landscape Architect Pensacola - Panama City Beach - Tallahassee - Mobile This drawing is the property of BaSKERVILLE-DONOVAN, INC. and is not to be returned upon request. Mole K is not to be used on any other project and is to be returned upon request.
	REVISION/ACTION TAKEN CONCEPT PLANS FOR MEXICO BEACH PIER MEXICO BEACH PIER REPLACEMENT FL Reg. L
	PROJECT NO: DATE APPR. REVISIC 90621.01 NO: DATE APPR. REVISIC DESIGNED BY: DKH NO DATE APPR. REVISIC DESIGNED BY: DKH NO DATE APPR. REVISIC DESIGNED BY: DKH NO DATE APPR. REVISIC DRAWN BY: MLW NOT RELEASED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY
	PLAN AND ELEVATION



APPR. REVISION/ACTION TAKEN CONCEPT PLANS FOR Image: Constant of the structure solutions Image: Constant of the structure solutions MEXICO BEACH PIER Image: Constant of the structure solutions Image: Constant of the structure solutions MEXICO BEACH PIER Image: Constant of the structure solutions Image: Constant of the structure solutions MEXICO BEACH PIER Image: Constant of the structure solutions Image: Constant of the structure solutions MEXICO BEACH PIER Image: Constant of the structure solutions Image: Constant of the structure solutions Meximinations Image: the propert of the structure solutions Image: Construction BY
NO. DATE APPR. REVIS NOT RELEASED FOR CONSTRUCTION BY
PROJECT NO: 90621.01 DESIGNED BY: DKH DRAWN BY: MLW CHK'D BY: DKH PROJ. MGR: DKH DATE: OCTOBER 2017
TYPICAL SECTION AND SPAN ELEVATION
S-102

City of Mexico Beach, Florida

Annual Financial Statements September 30, 2016

> Vance CPA LLC Certified Public Accountants 219-B Avenue E • Apalachicola, FL 32320 Phone (888)531-6408 Fax (866)-406-7422

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Auditor's Report	1
Management's Discussion and Analysis	4
Basic Financial Statements	
Government-wide Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Position Statement of Activities	11 12
Fund Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in	13
Fund Balances – Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in	14
Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance –	15
Budget and Actual – General Fund	16
Statement of Net Position – Proprietary Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position –	17
Proprietary funds	19
Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Funds	20
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	22
Required Supplementary Information	
Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability	50
Schedule of Contributions	51

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Supplementary Information

Comparative Schedule of Net Position – Water Fund	53
Comparative Schedule of Net Position – Sewer Fund	54
Comparative Schedule of Net Position – Sanitation Fund	55
Comparative Schedule of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes	
in Net Position – Water Fund	56
Comparative Schedule of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes	
in Net Position – Sewer Fund	57
Comparative Schedule of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes	C ,
in Net Position – Sanitation Fund	58
Comparative Schedule of Cash Flows – Water Fund	59
Comparative Schedule of Cash Flows – Sewer Fund	60
Comparative Schedule of Cash Flows – Sanitation Fund	61
Compliance Section	
Independent Auditor's Report on Investment Compliance	63
Independent Auditor's Management Letter	64
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial	
Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit	
of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with	
Government Auditing Standards	66
Report on compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major	
Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with	
Chapter 10.550, Rules of the Auditor General	68
Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance	71
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance	72
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	73
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Audit Findings	74
Summary Schedule of Current Year Audit Findings	75

Vance CPA LLC

Certified Public Accountant

219-B Avenue E • Apalachicola, FL 32320 Phone (888)531-6408 Fax (866)-406-7422

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Mexico Beach, Florida

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Mexico Beach, Florida, (the City), as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City, as of September 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position, and, where applicable, cash flows thereof, and the respective budgetary

comparison for the general fund, for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, the schedule of proportionate share of net pension liability and the schedule of pension contributions be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statement, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. The comparative schedules as listed in the table of contents are presented for the purpose of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of state financial assistance, as required by Chapter 10.550 Rule of the Auditor General of the State of Florida, and the comparative schedules are presented for purposes of additional analysis and is also not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 30, 2016, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Vance CPQ LLC

Vance CPA LLC June 27, 2017

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Management's discussion and analysis provides an easily readable analysis of the City's financial activities. The analysis provides summary financial information for the City and should be read in conjunction with the City's financial statements.

Financial Highlights

- Total assets of the City exceeded total liabilities by \$19,107,841 (net position). Of this amount, \$4,571,875 is unrestricted net position for governmental activities and \$1,100,241 is unrestricted net position for business-type activities, \$1,645,861 is restricted net position for governmental activities and \$3,471,634 is restricted net position for business-type activities.
- Total net position increased by \$2,384,620. Of this amount, \$2,335,240 is attributable to governmental activities and \$49,380 is attributable to business-type activities.
- As of September 30, 2016, the general fund's unassigned fund balance was \$1,726,366 or 40% of total general fund expenditures.
- Governmental activities revenues increased to \$4,526,522 or 63%, while governmental activities expenditures increased to \$4,341,202. Business-type activities revenues decreased to \$3,045,797 or 2%, while business-type activities expenditures increased 25% to \$2,841,322.

Overview of the Financial Statements

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the City's basic financial statements. The City's basic financial statements are comprised of three components; 1) governmentwide financial statements, 2) fund financial statements, and 3) notes to the basic financial statements. The government-wide financial statements present an overall picture of the City's financial position and results of operations. The fund financial statements present financial information for the City's major funds. The notes to basic financial statements provide additional information concerning the City's finances that are not otherwise disclosed in the government-wide or fund financial statements.

Government-wide Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements include the statement of net position and statement of activities. These statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the City's financial position, in a manner similar to that of private-sector companies. Emphasis is placed on the net position of governmental activities and business-type activities, as well as the change in net position. Governmental activities are primarily supported by taxes, utility taxes and franchise fees, and state shared revenues, while business-type activities are supported by charges to the users of those particular activities, such as water, sewer, and sanitation charges.

The *statement of net position* presents information on all assets and liabilities of the City, with the difference between the two reported as *net position*. Assets, liabilities and net position are reported separately for governmental activities and business-type activities. Increases or decreases in net position over time may serve as a useful indicator of the City's improving or declining financial position.

The *statement of activities* presents information on all revenues and expenditures of the City and the change in net position for the fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenditures are reported in the statement of activities for some items that will only result in cash flows in future fiscal periods (e.g., uncollected fees and earned, but unused vacation/sick leave).

Expenditures are reported by major function, along with program revenues relating to those functions, providing the net cost of all functions provided by the City. In order to better understand the City's operations, governmental activities expenditures include among others, general government services, public safety, highways and streets, physical environment, human services and parks and recreation. Business-type activities expenses, which are financed by user fees and charges, include water and sewer, and sanitation services.

Fund Financial Statements

A *fund* is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over the resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. Individual funds have been established by the City to account for revenues that are restricted to certain uses, or to comply with legal requirements. The two major categories of funds, found in the City's *fund financial statements* include: governmental funds and proprietary funds.

Fund financial statements provide financial information for the City's major funds and more detailed information about the City's activities. Governmental fund financial statements provide information on the *current* assets and liabilities of the funds, changes in *current* financial resources (revenues and expenditures), and *current* available resources. The proprietary funds financial statements provide information on all assets and liabilities of the funds, changes in the economic resources (revenues and expenses), and total economic resources.

Fund financial statements for all governmental funds include a *balance sheet* and a *statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances.* The City's general fund includes a *statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances-budget and actual.* For the proprietary funds, a *statement of net position, a statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position, and a statement of cash flows* are presented.

The *government-wide financial statements* and the *fund financial statements* provide different presentations of the City's financial position. Categorized by governmental activities and business-type activities, the government-wide financial statements provide an overall picture of the City's financial standing. These statements, which are comparable to private-sector companies, provide a good understanding of the City's overall financial health and present the means used to pay for various activities, or functions provided by the City. All assets of the City, including buildings, land, and infrastructure are reported in the *statement of net position*, as well as all liabilities, including outstanding principal on bonds, capital leases, and future employee benefits obligated but not yet paid by the City. The *statement of activities* includes depreciation on all long lived assets of the City, but all transactions between different functions of the City have been eliminated to avoid "doubling up" the revenues and expenditures.

The *fund financial statements* provide a presentation of the City's major funds, along with a column for all non-major funds. In the case of governmental activities, outlays for long lived assets are reported as expenditures and long-term liabilities, such as revenue bonds, are not included in the fund financial statements. To facilitate a comparison between the *fund financial statements* and the *government-wide financial statements*, reconciliation is provided.

The *notes to basic financial statements* provide additional detail concerning the financial activities and financial balances of the City. Additional information about the accounting policies of the City, investments of the City, and long-term debt are just a few of the items included in the notes to basic financial statements.

Financial Analysis of the City

The following schedule provides a summary of the assets, liabilities and net position of the City at September 30, 2016 and 2015. The City is able to report positive balances in all three categories of net position, both for the government as a whole, as well as for its governmental and business-type activities.

			Net Position			
	Governmental Activities Business-type Activities					
<u>September 30,</u>	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Current/other assets	\$ 5,311,685	\$ 5,148,066	\$ 2,843,002	\$ 3,027,179	\$ 8,154,687	\$ 8,175,245
Capital assets	6,927,656	4,773,189	12,492,397	12,851,722	19,420,053	17,624,911
Total assets	<u>12,239,341</u>	9,921,255	<u>15,335,399</u>	<u>15,878,901</u>	27,574,740	25,800,156
Deferred Outflows	671,751	245,903	246,793	49,225	918,544	295,128
Current liabilities	61,732	153,196	109,939	625,389	171,671	778,585
Noncurrent liabilities	1,710,312	1,208,045	6,698,133	6,577,019	8,408,445	7,785,064
Total liabilities	1,772,044	1,361,241	6,808,072	7,202,408	8,580,116	8,563,649
Deferred Inflows	778,359	780,468	26,968	27,946	805,327	808,414
Net investment in						
capital assets	6,647,057	4,417,457	6,243,048	5,963,241	12,890,105	10,380,698
Net Position - restricted	241,998	256,525	1,403,863	1,309,589	1,645,861	1,566,114
Net Position - Unrestricted	d <u>3,471,634</u>	3,351,467	1,100,241	1,424,942	4,571,875	4,776,409
Total net position	<u>\$ 10,360,689</u>	<u>\$ 8,025,449</u>	<u>\$ 8,747,152</u>	<u>\$ 8,697,772</u>	<u>\$19,107,841</u>	<u>\$16,723,221</u>

Investment in capital assets (e.g., land, buildings, and equipment), net of any related outstanding debt used to acquire those assets, represents the City's largest portion of net position (67%). These capital assets are used to provide services to citizens; consequently, these assets are not available for future spending. It should be noted, that although the City's investment in its capital assets is reported net of related debt, the resources needed to repay this debt must be provided from other sources, since the capital assets themselves cannot be used to liquidate these liabilities.

The *restricted net position*, representing 9% of the City's net position, are subject to external restrictions, and thus are limited as to how they may be used. The remaining balance of *unrestricted net position* \$4,571,875 may be used to help meet the government's ongoing obligations to citizens

and creditors. The following schedule provides a summary of the changes in net position for the years ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Changes in Net Position							
	Governmen	tal Activities	Business-ty	pe Activities	Т	otal	
Year Ended September 30,	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	
Program Revenues -							
Charges for services	\$ 153,913	\$ 182,192	\$ 3,048,668	\$ 2,987,674	\$ 3,202,581	\$ 3,169,866	
Operating grants/							
contributions	355,603	316,551		—	355,603	316,551	
Capital grants/							
contributions	1,757,782	—		—	1,757,782		
General Revenues -							
Taxes	1,666,629	1,655,688		—	1,666,629	1,655,688	
Utility taxes/franchise fees	440,239	484,003		—	440,239	484,003	
Occupational and other taxe	s 61,615	65,431		—	61,615	65,431	
Interest	4,493	4,633		—	4,493	4,633	
Other	61,071	64,205			61,071	64,205	
Total revenues	4,501,345	2,772,703	3,048,668	2,987,674	7,550,013	5,760,377	
Expenses							
General government	435,224	538,947	_	_	435,224	538,947	
Public safety	856,807	702,062	_	_	856,807	702,062	
Highways and streets	287,742	333,166	_	_	287,742	333,166	
Economic environment	40,300	126,481	_	_	40,300	126,481	
Human services	130,472	126,481	_	_	130,472	126,481	
Parks and recreation	249,404	185,292		—	249,404	185,292	
Physical environment	166,156	209,868	_	_	166,156	209,868	
Interest on long-term debt		37,102	_	_	_	37,102	
Water		_	1,170,476	901,376	1,170,476	901,376	
Sewer		_	1,096,523	1,076,081	1,096,523	1,076,081	
Sanitation			732,289	540,593	732,289	540,593	
Total expenditures	2,166,105	2,132,918	2,999,288	2,518,050	5,165,393	4,650,968	
Change in net position	<u>\$ 2,335,240</u>	<u>\$ 639,785</u>	<u>\$ 49,380</u>	<u>\$ 469,624</u>	<u>\$ 2,384,620</u>	<u>\$ 1,109,409</u>	

Governmental activities revenues exceeded expenses by \$2,335,240 while business-type activities revenues exceeded expenses by \$49,380. Total revenues increased \$1,789,636 from the previous year. Total expenses increased \$514,425 from the previous year.

48% of the revenues for governmental activities were generated by taxes, while most of the governmental resources were expended for general government (20%), public safety (40%), physical environment (8%), and highways and streets (13%).

100% of the revenues for the business-type activities were generated from charges for services while water costs made up the majority (39%) of total business-type expenses.

Financial Analysis of the City's Funds

Governmental Funds

General Fund

The main operating fund of the City is the general fund. As of September 30, 2016, total assets were \$5,311,685 and total liabilities were \$61,732. At the end of fiscal year 2015, unassigned fund balance of the general fund was \$1,726,366.

The general fund budget was amended during the year to reflect increases in expected revenues and the corresponding expected increases in expenditures resulting from changes in capital outlay expenditure expectations.

Proprietary Funds

The City's proprietary funds provide the same type of information found in the government-wide financial statements, but in greater detail. All proprietary funds are reported as major funds.

Unrestricted net position of proprietary funds at the end of the year are presented below:

	Unrest	Unrestricted				
Fund	Net Position					
September 30,	2016	2015				
Water	\$ 352,689	\$ 645,623				
Sewer	669,070	634,502				
Sanitation	78,482	144,817				
Total	<u>\$1,100,241</u>	<u>\$ 1,424,942</u>				

The water fund is used to account for the operations and maintenance of the City's water system.

The sewer fund is used to account for the operations and maintenance of the City's sewer system.

The sanitation fund is used to account for the operations and maintenance of the City's sanitation system.

Capital Assets Activity

The following schedule provides a summary of the City's capital assets activity. The City's total investment in capital assets for both its governmental and business-type activities as of September 30, 2016, was \$19,420,053 (net of accumulated depreciation). This investment in capital assets includes land, buildings, improvements, machinery and equipment, and infrastructure.

	Governmental Activities Business-type Activities			pe Activities	Total		
Year Ended September 30,	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015	
Land	\$ 237,111	\$ 237,111	\$ 288,191	\$ 288,191	\$ 525,302	\$ 525,302	
Construction in progress	2,251,539	427,336	5,193,454		7,444,993	427,336	
Buildings	775,274	617,660	—	—	775,274	617,660	
Improvements	3,301,293	2,934,273	6,673,644	12,193,609	9,974,937	15,127,882	
Machinery & equipment	362,439	556,809	282,922	315,735	645,361	872,544	
Total	<u>\$ 6,927,656</u>	<u>\$ 4,773,189</u>	<u>\$ 12,438,211</u>	<u>\$12,797,535</u>	<u>\$19,365,867</u>	<u>\$17,570,724</u>	

Capital Assets (net of depreciation)

Additional information on the City's capital assets can be found in note 6 of the notes to the basic financial statements.

Debt Management

At September 30, 2016 the City had total bond debt outstanding of \$5,581,021. This debt represents bonds secured solely by specified revenue sources (i.e., revenue and capital improvement bonds).

		Outst	anding Bond De	bt		
	Governmen	tal Activities	Business-ty	pe Activities	T	otal
<u>Year Ended September 30,</u>	2016	2015	2016	2015	2016	2015
Bonds	<u>\$ 280,599</u>	<u>\$ 355,732</u>	<u>\$ 5,300,422</u>	<u>\$ 5,743,453</u>	<u>\$ 5,581,021</u>	<u>\$ 6,099,185</u>

The Florida Constitution and the City of Mexico Beach set no legal debt limits for revenue and capital improvement bonds. More detailed information about the City's liabilities is presented in notes 7 and 8 of the notes to basic financial statements.

Other financial Information

"The economic recession with the national economy and tourism had affected the City's tourism industry previously but the City seems to have recovered. The City has begun construction of a New City Hall and has made significant investments in infrastructure and equipment. The City plans to continue to stay ahead of the curve providing further investments into infrastructure and equipment and has developed a strategic plan to drive this replacement process.

Request for Information

This report was prepared by the City's finance department. Questions concerning this report or requests for additional information should be addressed to City of Mexico Beach, P.O. Box 13425, Mexico Beach, Florida 32410, attention: Adrian Welle.

BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF NET POSITION SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Primary Government			
	Governmental	Business-type		
	Activities	Activities	Total	
ASSETS				
Current assets,	¢ 0.007.01.0	ф 1 10 <i>с</i> 207	ф <u>4</u> 522 252	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,337,016	\$ 1,196,337	\$ 4,533,353	
Accounts receivable, net	6,739	242,802	249,541	
Due from other governmental units	1,561,163	—	1,561,163	
Fuel inventory	6,809	—	6,809	
Prepaid expense	<u> </u>	1 420 120	157,960	
Total Current Assets (unrestricted)	5,069,687	1,439,139	6,508,826	
Restricted assets	241.000	1 402 072	1 645 061	
Cash and cash equivalents	241,998	1,403,863	1,645,861	
Total Current Assets (restricted)	241,988	1,403,863	1,645,861	
Total Current Assets	5,311,685	2,843,002	8,154,687	
Noncurrent assets		54 105	54 105	
Capacity rights		54,187	54,187	
Capital assets Nondepreciable	2,488,650	288,191	2,776,841	
Depreciable, net	4,439,006	12,150,019	16,589,025	
Total Noncurrent Assets	6,927,656	12,492,397	19,420,053	
Total Assets	12,239,341	15,335,399	27,574,740	
DEFERRED OUTLOWS				
Employee Pension Contributions	<u>671,751</u>	246,793	918,544	
Total Deferred outflows	671,751	246,793	918,544	
LIABILITIES				
Current liabilities		0		
Accounts payable	42,934	85,316	128,250	
Payroll liabilities	18,798	12,349	31,147	
Accrued interest		12,274	12,274	
Total Current Liabilities	61,732	109,939	171,671	
Noncurrent liabilities				
Due within one year				
Accrued compensated absences	9,917	9,504	19,421	
Notes payable after one year		146,397	146,397	
Bonds payable after one year	61,730	380,279	442,009	
Due in more than one year	0.4.5 7 7	2 0 5 11		
Accrued compensated absences	34,655	28,511	63,166	
Notes payable after one year		802,529	802,529	
Bonds payable after one year	218,869	4,920,144	5,139,013	
Pension Liability	1,385,141	410,769	1,795,910	
Total Noncurrent Liabilities	1,710,312	6,698,133	8,408,445	
Total Liabilities	1,772,044	6,808,072	8,580,116	
DEFERRED INFLOWS	640.0 6		640.060	
Insurance proceeds	640,862		640,862	
Pension earnings	<u>137,497</u>	26,968	164,465	
Total Deferred Inflows	778,359	26,968	805,327	
NET POSITION	/=			
Net investment in capital assets	6,647,057	6,243,048	12,890,105	
Restricted for: Bond retirement		339,988	339,988	
Law enforcement	1,054	—	1,054	
Construction	102,650	1.0.00	102,650	
Impact fees	138,294	1,063,875	1,202,169	
Unrestricted	3,471,634	1,100,241	4,571,875	
Total Net position	<u>\$10,360,689</u>	<u>\$ 8,747,152</u>	<u>\$19,107,841</u>	

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

					Net (Expense) Revenue and Changes in Net Position			
		P	rogram Reve	enues	Primary Government			
Functions/Programs	Expenses	Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions		Governmental s Activities	Business Type Activities	Total	
Primary government	L'Apenses	Services	<u>contributions</u>	Contribution	<u>s Activities</u>	Activities	10001	
Governmental activities								
General government	\$ 435,224	\$ 106,009	\$ 355,603	\$	\$ 26,388	\$	\$ 26,388	
Public safety	856,807		—		(856,807)		(856,807)	
Highways and streets	287,742	12,904	—	463,090	188,252		188,252	
Economic environment	40,300		—	—	(40,300)	—	(40,300)	
Human services	130,472		_	_	(130,472)	_	(130,472)	
Parks and recreation	249,404	35,000		1,294,692	1,080,288	_	1,080,288	
Physical environment	166,156				(166,156)		(166,156)	
Total governmental								
activities	2,166,105	153,913	355,603	1,757,782	101,193		101,193	
Business-Type Activiti	05							
Water	1,170,476	1,074,781				(95,695)	(95,695)	
Sewer	1,096,523	1,311,468				(95,095) 214,945	214,945	
Sanitation		662,419				(69,870)		
Samation	732,289	002,419				(09,870)	(69,870)	
Total business-type								
activities	2,999,288	3,048,668				49,380	49,380	
Total Primary								
Government	<u> </u>	<u>\$3,202,581</u>	<u>\$ 355,603</u>	<u>\$ 1,757,782</u>	101,193	49,380	150,573	
		General Rev	venues•					
		Taxes:	chues.					
		Ad valore	em		1,666,629		1,666,629	
		Utility			147,851		147,851	
		-	ion gas tax		56,433		56,433	
			onal and other ta	axes	5,182		5,182	
		Franchise fe			162,771	—	162,771	
		Sales tax an	d other shared r	revenues	129,617		129,617	
		Miscellaneo			61,071		61,071	
		Interest earn			4,493	_	4,493	
			neral Revenues		2,234,047		2,234,047	
		Changes in	Net Position		2,335,240	49,380	2,384,620	
		-	on – Beginning	of Year	8,025,449	8,697,772	16,723,221	
			n Degimming				10,723,221	

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	General Fund
Assets	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,337,016
Accounts receivable, net	6,739
Fuel inventory	6,809
Due from other governments	1,561,163
Prepaid expenses	157,960
Cash and cash equivalents - restricted	241,998
Total Assets	5,311,685
Liabilities, Deferred Inflows and Fund Balance Liabilities	
Accounts payable	42,934
Accrued expenses	18,798
Total Liabilities	61,732
Deferred Inflows	
Deferred inflows – insurance proceeds	640,862
Deferred Inflows	640,862
Fund balance	
Nonspendable	
Inventory	6,809
Restricted for:	
Impact fees	138,294
Construction	102,650
Law enforcement	1,054
Committed for:	
Boat ramp maintenance	39,011
Public works	599,775
Canal maintenance	812,346
Assigned for:	
Canal maintenance	150,000
Paving and maintenance	1,032,786
Unassigned	1,726,366
Total Fund Balance	4,609,091
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position are different because:	
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore are not reported in the funds.	6,927,656
Pension liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore, are not reported as liabilities in government funds, nor are related deferred outflows and inflows.	(850,887)
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore are not reported in the funds. Net Position of Governmental Activities	(325,171) \$10,360,689

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	General Fund
Revenues	
Taxes	\$ 1,876,095
Franchise fees	162,771
Licenses and permits	66,564
Intergovernmental	2,221,262
Fines and forfeitures	10,445
Charges for services	87,196
Rental income	49,227
Miscellaneous revenues	52,962
Total revenues	4,526,522
Expenditures	
Current operating	
General government	401,851
Public safety	776,366
Highways and streets	258,237
Economic environment	40,300
Physical environment	171,740
Human services	130,472
Parks and recreation	142,333
Capital outlay	2,332,732
Debt service	87,171
Total expenditures	4,341,202
Net change in fund balance	185,320
Fund balance – beginning	4,423,771
Fund balance - ending	<u>\$ 4,609,091</u>

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:	
Net change in fund balances – total governmental funds.	\$ 185,320
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However in the statement of activities the costs of these assets are allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation. This is the amount by which depreciation is exceeded by capital outlays in the current period.	2,154,467
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the current financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.	(1,515)
Pension contributions are reported as expenditures in the funds while pension expense is reported in the government-wide statements.	(78,165)
The issuance of long-term debt (i.e. bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also governmental funds report the effect of the issuance costs, premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities. This amount is the net effect of these differences in the treatment of long-term debt and related items.	75,133
Change in net position of governmental activities	<u>\$ 2,335,240</u>

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES – BUDGET AND ACTUAL – GENERAL FUND YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Budget A Original	mounts Final	Actual Amounts	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
Revenues: Taxes Franchise fees License and permits Intergovernmental Fines and forfeitures Charges for services Rental Income Miscellaneous revenues				(28,566) (2,266) (57,936) (2,849,341) 8,445 13,196 22,227 (38,306)
Total revenues	2,411,879	7,459,059	4,526,522	(2,932,537)
Expenditures: Current General government Public safety Highways and streets Economic environment Physical environment Human services Culture and recreation Capital outlay Debt service Total expenditures Excess (deficiency) of revenue over (under) expenditures	375,335 810,175 303,229 	401,084 810,985 362,451 600,000 236,067 121,243 151,654 6,112,731 <u>118,575</u> <u>8,914,790</u> (1,455,731)	401,851 776,366 258,237 40,300 171,740 130,472 142,333 2,332,732 87,171 4,341,202 185,320	(767) 34,619 104,214 559,700 64,327 (9,229) 9,321 3,779,999 31,404 4,573,588 1,641,051
Other financing sources (uses) Transfers in Transfers out Installment loan proceeds	(268,000)	(268,000)		268,000
Total other financing Sources (uses)	(268,000)	(268,000)		268,000
Net change in fund balance	(725,333)	(1,723,731)	185,320	1,909,051
Fund balance - beginning	4,423,771	4,423,771	4,423,771	
Fund balance - ending	<u>\$ 3,698,438</u>	<u>\$ 2,700,040</u>	<u>\$_4,609,091</u>	<u>\$ 1,909,051</u>

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF NET POSITION PROPRIETARY FUNDS SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Business-type A	Activities/Enterp	orise Funds	
	Water	Sewer	Sanitation	Total
Assets				
Current assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 419,786	\$ 640,158	\$ 136,393	\$ 1,196,337
Accounts receivable (net)	74,816	110,177	57,809	242,802
Due from other funds			—	
Due from other governments				
Total current assets	494,602	750,335	194,202	1,439,139
Restricted assets - cash				
Bond and interest sinking	82,294	257,694	—	339,988
Impact fees	174,627	889,248		1,063,875
Total restricted assets - cash	256,921	1,146,942		1,403,863
Noncurrent assets				
Capacity rights		54,187		54,187
Land	17,765	270,426		288,191
Property, plant, and equipment	11,333,642	6,954,246	410,714	18,698,602
Less accumulated depreciation	(3,108,476)	(3,200,606)	(239,501)	(6,548,583)
Total noncurrent assets	8,242,931	4,078,253	171,213	12,492,397
Total assets	<u>\$ 8,994,454</u>	<u>\$ 5,975,530</u>	<u>\$ 365,415</u>	<u>\$15,335,399</u>
Deferred Outflows				
Employee pension contributions	72,432	94,521	79,840	246,793
Total Deferred Inflows	72,432	94,521	79,840	246,793
		······	······	

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF NET POSITION (CONTINUED) PROPRIETARY FUNDS SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Business-type	Activities/Enterp	orise Funds	
	Water	Sewer	Sanitation	Total
Liabilities				
Current liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ 64,710	\$ 774	\$ 19,832	\$ 85,316
Accrued compensated absences	4,033	3,360	4,956	12,349
Accrued expenses	2,616	2,385	4,503	9,504
Due to other funds	146,397			146,397
Notes payable, current portion Bonds payable, current portion	173,653	206,626		380,279
Total current liabilities	391,409	213,145	29,291	633,845
Total current habilities		213,143	27,271	055,045
Current liabilities payable from restricted assets				
Accrued interest	6,166	6,108		12,274
Total current liabilities payable				
from restricted assets	6,166	6,108		12,274
Noncurrent liabilities				
Accrued compensated absences	7,846	7,155	13,510	28,511
Notes payable $-$ due after one year	802,529	7,155	15,510	802,529
Bond payable – due after one year	2,563,288	2,356,856		4,920,144
Net pension liability	120,962	147,930	141,877	410,769
Total noncurrent liabilities	3,494,625	2,511,941	155,387	6,161,953
Total Liabilities	3,892,200	2,731,194	184,678	6,808,072
Deferred Inflows				
Deferred inflows – utility prepayments	8,012	8,074	10,882	26,968
Total Deferred Inflows	8,012	8,074	10,882	26,968
Net Position		1 5 1 4 7 7 1	171 010	C 0 1 0 0 1 0
Net investment in capital assets	4,557,064	1,514,771	171,213	6,243,048
Restricted for Bond retirement	82,294	257,694		339,988
Impact fees	82,294 174,627	257,694 889,248		1,063,875
Unrestricted	352,689	669,070	78,482	1,100,241
Total Net Position	\$5,166,674	\$ 3,330,783	\$ 249,695	<u>\$ 8,747,152</u>
	$\psi 2,100,014$	<u>φ 3,330,703</u>	<u>Ψ <u>Ψ</u>Τ<u></u>,0<u>)</u></u>	$\underline{\psi}$ 0, $\overline{\tau}$ 1, 1.52

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION PROPRIETARY FUNDS YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Business-type A	Activities/Enterp	rise Funds	
	Water	Sewer	Sanitation	Total
Operating revenues				
Charges for services	\$ 1,024,320	\$ 1,234,471	\$ 659,892	\$ 2,918,683
New installations	10,920	12,495	·	23,415
Reconnections	9,175	8,205	_	17,380
Impact fees	22,050	54,186	_	76,236
Other utility income	7,556		2,527	10,083
Total operating revenue	1,074,021	1,309,357	662,419	3,045,797
Operating expenses				
Personnel services	255,655	322,655	447,859	1,026,169
Professional services	11,170	11,170	7,149	29,489
Utilities	4,601	47,819	104,601	157,021
Insurance	30,770	18,260	8,935	57,965
Repairs and maintenance	34,863	41,045	17,069	92,977
Operating supplies	344,554	61,932	44,842	451,328
Communication services	9,595	675	1,127	11,397
Public utility services	211,117	312,845	·	523,962
Tipping fees	·	·	68,502	68,502
Transportation		259		259
RentaÎs	1,402	1,201	_	2,603
Miscellaneous	11,828	6,327	13,952	32,107
Depreciation	169,995	199,295	18,253	387,543
Total operating expenses	1,085,550	1,023,483	732,289	2,841,322
Operating income	(11,529)	285,874	(69,870)	204,475
Nonoperating revenues (expenses)				
Interest income	760	2,111		2,871
Interest expense	(84,926)	(73,040)		(157,966)
*		(70,929)		
Total non operating revenues (expenses)	(84,166)	(70,929)		(155,095)
Change in net position	(95,695)	214,945	(69,870)	49,380
Net position - beginning	5,262,369	3,115,838	319,565	8,697,772
Net position - ending	<u>\$ 5,166,674</u>	<u>\$ 3,330,783</u>	<u>\$ </u>	<u>\$ 8,747,152</u>

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS PROPRIETARY FUNDS YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Business-type A	Activities/Enterp	rise Funds	
	Water	Sewer	Sanitation	Total
Operating Activities Receipts from customers and users Payments to suppliers and others Payments for personnel services	\$ 1,089,651 (458,083) (252,669)	\$ 1,296,542 (830,999) (319,197)	\$ 648,271 (394,799) (447,859)	\$ 3,034,464 (1,683,881) (1,019,725)
Net cash provided by operating activities	378,899	146,346	(194,387)	330,858
Capital and Related Financing Activities Capital contributions – grants Proceeds from debt Principal paid on bonds Principal paid on notes and leases Interest paid on bonds, notes and leases Purchase of capital assets	(202,904) (141,915) (90,489)	(240,126) (74,220) (13,500)		$(443,030) \\ (141,915) \\ (164,709) \\ (28,218)$
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	(435,308)	(327,846)	(14,718)	(777,872)
Investing Activities Proceeds sale fixed assets Interest received	760	2,111		2,871
Net cash provided by investing activities	760	2,111		2,871
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(55,649)	(179,389)	(209,105)	(444,143)
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning	732,356	1,966,489	345,498	3,044,343
Cash and cash equivalents ending	<u>\$ 676,707</u>	<u>\$ 1,787,100</u>	<u>\$ 136,393</u>	<u>\$ 2,600,200</u>

(continued)

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS PROPRIETARY FUNDS (continued) YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	Business-type A	Activities/Enterp	rise Funds	
Reconciliation of operating income to net	Water	Sewer	Sanitation	Total
cash provided by operating activities				
Operating Income	<u>\$ (11,529)</u>	<u>\$ 285,874</u>	\$ (69,870)	<u>\$ 204,475</u>
Adjustments to reconcile operating	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
income to net cash provided by				
operating activities				
Depreciation	169,995	199,295	18,253	387,543
(Increase) decrease in assets	,	,	,	,
Accounts receivable, net	15,630	(12,815)	(14, 148)	(11,333)
Due from other funds	193,681	3,864	736	198,281
Due from other governments	70,830			70,830
Deferred outflows	(57,815)	(79,605)	(60,140)	(197,560)
(Increase) decrease in liabilities				
Accounts payable	40,524	(108,557)	8,616	(59,417)
Acrrued expenses	2,210	1,700	2,992	6,902
Due to other funds	(116,344)	(241,727)	(159,673)	(517,744)
Deferred inflows	(286)	(394)	(298)	(978)
Accrued compensated absences	3,290	4,100	7,667	15,057
Net pension	68,713	94,611	71,478	234,802
Total adjustments	390,428	(139,528)	(124,517)	126,383
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>\$ 378,899</u>	<u>\$ 146,346</u>	<u>\$ (194,387)</u>	<u>\$ 330,858</u>

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The financial statements of the City of Mexico Beach (The City) have been prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (U.S. GAAP) as applied to governmental entities. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the standard-setting body that establishes governmental accounting and financial reporting principles.

This summary of the City's significant accounting policies is presented to assist the reader in interpreting the financial statements and other information in this report. These policies are essential and should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements.

Reporting Entity

The City is located in eastern Bay County in northwest Florida along the Gulf of Mexico. The City operates under an elected Mayor-Council and a four-member City Council, and serves approximately 1,500 residents by providing human services, community enrichment and development, law enforcement and fire safety, and general administrative services. In addition, the City operates water, sewer and sanitation utilities.

This report includes financial statements of the funds of the City. The reporting entity for the City consists of the primary government, organizations for which the nature and significance of their relationship with the primary government are such that their exclusion would cause the reporting entity's financial statements to be misleading or incomplete. A legally separate organization should be reported as a component unit if the elected officials of the primary government are financially accountable to the organization. The primary government is financially accountable if it appoints a voting majority of the organization's governing body and (1) it is able to impose its will on that organization or (2) there is a potential for the organization to provide specific financial benefits to or burdens on the primary government. The primary government may be financially accountable if an organization is fiscally dependent on the primary government. A legally separate tax exempt organization should be reported as a component unit of a reporting entity if all of the following criteria are met: (1) the economic resources received or held by the separate organization are entirely or almost entirely for the direct benefit of the primary government, its component units, or it constituents; (2) the primary government is entitled to, or has the ability to otherwise access, a majority of the economic resources received or held by the separate organization; and, (3) the economic resources received or held by an individual organization that the specific primary government, or its component units, is entitled to, or has the ability to otherwise access, are significant to that primary government. Blended component units, although legally separate entities are, in substance, part of the government's operations and are reported with similar funds of the primary government (see note below for description). Each discretely presented component unit is reported in a separate column in the government-wide financial statements to emphasize that it is legally separate from the primary government.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

The City has one component unit as defined by GASB Statement 61, *The Financial Reporting Entity: Omnibus – an Amendment of GASB Statements No. 14 and No. 34* or in publications cited in the State of Florida, Office of the Auditor General Rules, Rule 10.553. A nonprofit corporation formed by the City's volunteer fire department is considered a component unit of the City. However, its operations and assets are not material to the City's financial statements. Accordingly, the volunteer fire department's operations and financial position are not included in the City's financial statements.

There were no entities for which there were positive responses to specific criteria used for establishing oversight responsibility that were excluded from the City's financial statements. The City did not participate in any joint ventures during the year.

The City has issued certain revenue bonds for the purpose of financing of healthcare facilities owned by nonprofit organizations as discussed in note 10. The City is not liable for the repayment of such debt and accordingly, the bonds are not reported as liabilities in the accompanying financial statements.

Government-wide and Fund Financial Statements

The government-wide financial statements (i.e., the statement of net position and the statement of activities) report information on all of the nonfiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities, which are normally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues, are reported separately from business-type activities, which rely to a significant extent on fees and charges for support. Likewise, the primary government is reported separately from certain legally separate component units, if any, for which the primary government is financially accountable.

The statement of activities demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment is offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable with a specific function or segment. Program revenues include: a) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function or segment; and, b) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function or segment. Taxes and other items not properly included among program revenues are reported instead as general revenues.

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds and proprietary funds. Major individual governmental funds and enterprise funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements. All remaining governmental and enterprise funds are aggregated and reported as nonmajor funds.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

The City reports the following major governmental fund:

• General Fund- the general fund is the City's primary operating fund. It is used to account for all resources traditionally associated with governments except those required to be accounted for in other funds. All general tax revenues and other receipts that are not allocated by law or contractual agreement to another fund are accounted for in this fund. The majority of current operating expenditures of the City other than proprietary fund activities are financed through revenues received by the general fund.

The City reports the following major proprietary funds:

- The water fund is used to account for the revenues and costs of collection, treatment and distribution of water services.
- The sewer fund is used to account for the revenues and costs of providing wastewater and sanitary sewer services.
- The sanitation fund is used to account for the revenues and costs of providing sanitation services.

Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

The government-wide financial statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as is the proprietary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of the related cash flows.

Governmental fund financial statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the City considers revenues to be available if they are collected within sixty days of the end of the current fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, debt service expenditures, as well as expenditures related to compensated absences are recorded only when payment is due.

Proprietary funds distinguish operating revenues and expenses from nonoperating items. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods in connection with a proprietary fund's principal ongoing operations. The principal operating revenues of the City's enterprise funds are charges to customers for utility services. Operating expenses of the enterprise fund include the cost of sales and services, administrative expenses, and depreciation on capital assets. All revenue and expenses not meeting this definition are reported as nonoperating revenues and expenses.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are legally adopted for all governmental funds and all proprietary funds. Budgets are prepared and adopted on a basis of accounting consistent with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. All annual appropriations lapse at fiscal year end.

Florida Statutes provide that it is unlawful to make expenditures that exceed the total amount budgeted for each fund. Chapter 166 Florida Statutes governs the manner in which the budget may be legally amended once it has been approved. Therefore, the fund level is the legal level of control for budget considerations according to Florida Statutes.

The City budgets expenditures at the department level. Only the City Council can approve budget amendments that change the total approved budget appropriation of an individual department. Department managers can transfer appropriations within the departmental budget, but cannot change the total appropriation of an individual department without the approval of the City Council.

If during the fiscal year, additional revenue becomes available for appropriations in excess of those estimated in the budget, the Council by resolution may make supplemental appropriations for the year up to the amount of such excess. During the current fiscal year, various supplemental appropriations were approved by the Council in accordance with Florida Statutes.

Budgetary data presented in the accompanying basic financial statements in the final budgeted amounts column represents the final budgetary data. In this column the effects of budget amendments have been applied to original budgetary data.

Encumbrances

Encumbrances represent commitments related to unperformed contracts for goods or services. Encumbrance accounting, under which purchase orders, contracts and other commitments for the expenditure of resources are recorded to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation, is used in the governmental funds. However, all encumbrances lapse at year end and, accordingly, a reserve for encumbrances is not reported in the accompanying basic financial statements.

Encumbrances are reestablished at the beginning of the fiscal year if funds are appropriated in the annual budget. Encumbrances do not constitute expenditures or liabilities.

Cash and Temporary Cash Investment

The City considers certificates of deposit and highly liquid debt instruments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Accounts Receivable

All receivables are reported at their gross value and, where appropriate, are reduced by the estimated portion that is expected to be uncollectible. The City estimates there are no uncollectible accounts. Therefore, the City is of the opinion an allowance for doubtful accounts are not necessary. Unbilled amounts are not recorded.

Due From (To) Other Funds

Activity between funds that are representative of lending/borrowing arrangements outstanding at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as "due to/from other funds. "Any residual balances outstanding between the governmental activities and business-type activities are reported in the governmental-wide financial statements as "internal balances."

Inventories

Inventory items of materials and supplies, which are not significant in amount, are considered expenditures when purchased in the governmental funds and the proprietary funds. Inventory in the governmental funds consists of fuel held for use by all departments and funds. Inventory is valued at the lower of cost using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method or market.

Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, right of ways, storm water system, sidewalks, and similar items), are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activities columns in the government-wide financial statements.

Property, plant and equipment with initial individual costs that exceed \$750 and estimated useful lives in excess of one year are recorded as capital assets. Infrastructure such as roads, bridges and sidewalks are capitalized when their initial costs exceed \$25,000 and possess estimated useful lives in excess of one year. Capital assets are recorded at historical cost whether purchases or constructed or estimated cost if historical cost is unknown. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the date of donation. The costs of normal maintenance and repair that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend its useful life are not capitalized.

Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of assets generally as follows:

Buildings	20-50 Years
Improvements other than buildings	20-50 Years
Equipment	3-15 Years
Infrastructure	10-50 Years

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Long-term Obligations

In the government-wide financial statements and the proprietary fund in the fund financial statements, long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, or proprietary fund statement of net position. Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount.

Unamortized Discounts

Bond discounts associated with the issuance of proprietary fund bonds are amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method for financial reporting, unamortized bond discounts are netted against the applicable long-term debt.

Accrued Compensated Absences

All full-time employees earn two weeks vacation per year (which cannot be taken until 6 months of probationary service are completed), 14 days after 5 years, 18 days after 11 years, and 20 days after 15 years. Annual leave may be accumulated at a maximum of 25 days per calendar year. Employees who have completed their probationary period are eligible for payment of accumulated annual leave not to exceed a maximum of 25 days upon their separation from the City. Employees with one year of service may request payment of their hourly rate times a maximum of 50 percent of the employee's annually accrued vacation time up to sixty hours per year.

Six hours of sick leave is earned for each month of employment and is cumulative. Sick leave cannot be used until the probationary status is completed and regular status is attained. Sick leave is only eligible for permanent employees. Employees who have worked for the City more than ten years are eligible for payment of unused sick leave and shall be compensated at the employee's current regular hourly rate of pay for one-fifth (1/5) of all unused sick leave credits accrued. Employees with less than ten years of service shall not be compensated upon termination.

Both the current and long-term portion of compensated absences are accrued and reported in the government-wide financial statements. No expenditure is reported in the government fund level statements for these amounts until payment is made. Proprietary fund types accrue sick leave and vacation benefits in the period they are earned. Compensated absences liability is based on current rates of pay. This is accounted for pursuant to GASB Statement 16, *Accounting for Compensated Absences*.

Property Taxes

Property taxes consist of ad valorem taxes on real and personal property within the City. Property values are determined by the Bay County Property Appraiser. Florida Statutes permit municipalities to levy property taxes at a rate of up to 10 mills for general operations. The City's millage rate for the year ended September 30, 2016, was 4.927 mills. The tax levy of the City is established by the City Council prior to October 1 of each year.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Property tax revenue is recognized when taxes are received by the City. Because any delinquent taxes collected after September 30 would not be material, delinquent taxes due are not accrued at year end. The City's tax calendar is as follows:

Lien date:	January 1
Levy date:	Not later than October 1
Delinquent date:	April 1 of year following assessment
Discount periods:	November through February (up to 4%)
Collection dates:	November 1 through March 31

Property taxes are collected by the Bay County Tax Collector. State Law provides for enforcement of collection of personal property taxes by seizure of the property to satisfy unpaid taxes and for enforcement of collection of real property taxes by the sale of interest bearing tax certificates.

Deferred Inflows

Deferred inflows reported in the government-wide, and proprietary funds financial statements represent unearned revenues. The deferred inflows will be recognized as revenue in the fiscal year they are earned in accordance with the accrual basis of accounting. Deferred inflows reported in governmental fund financial statements represent unearned revenues or revenues which are measurable but not available, and in accordance with the modified accrual basis of accounting.

Deferred Outflows

Deferred outflows of resources represents a consumption of net position that applies to future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense or expenditure) until then. The deferred outflows of resources reported in the City's Statement of Net Position represent pension related balances for changes in actuarial assumptions, the net difference between projected and actual earnings on Health Insurance Subsidy differences between the City's contributions and proportionate share of contributions, and the City's contributions subsequent to the measurement date, relating to the Florida Retirement System Pension Plan and the Retiree Health Insurance Subsidy Program. These amounts will be recognized as increases in pension expense in future years.

Fund Balance

The City has implemented GASB Statement 54 employing the following terminology and classifications for fund balance.

Nonspendable Fund Balance – includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

Restricted Fund Balance – includes amounts that have constraints placed on the use of the resources that are either (a) externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors or laws and regulations of other governments or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Committed Fund Balance – includes amounts that can only be used for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by formal resolutions of the City Council, the City's highest level of decision-making authority. Commitments may only be modified or removed by formal resolution of the City Council.

Assigned Fund Balance – includes amounts that are constrained by the City's intent to be used for specific purposes, but are neither restricted nor committed. These are resources that have been set aside for a specific purpose by an authorized government body or official.

Unassigned Fund Balance – is represented by the residual classification of the General Fund balance that has not been assigned to other funds and that has not been restricted, committed or assigned to specific purposes within the General Fund.

Restricted Assets

Certain assets of the various funds are required by resolutions and ordinances to be set aside and used for specific purposes; thus, they are not available to be used for general operations. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use it is the government's policy to use externally restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources, committed, assigned, and unassigned – in order as needed.

Net Position

GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position,* was implemented in the current year. This standard provides financial reporting guidance for deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources and this standard renames the components of net position which were previously considered net assets.

Net position is classified in three categories. The general meaning of each is as follows:

- a. Net investment in capital assets Consists of capital assets, including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, mortgages, notes, or other borrowings that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.
- b. Restricted net position Consists of net position with constraints placed on the use either by (1) external groups such as creditors, grantors contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or (2) law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- c. Unrestricted net position All other net position that do not meet the definition of restricted or net investment in capital assets.
NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

In the fund financial statements, governmental funds report fund balance in classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which the government is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in these funds can be spent.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principle requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

Subsequent events

The City evaluated subsequent events through June 27, 2017, the date which the financial statements were available to be issued. The City did not have any subsequent events requiring disclosure or recording in these financial statements.

NOTE 2 – RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Explanation of certain differences between the governmental funds balance sheet and the governmentwide statement of net position:

The governmental funds balance sheet includes reconciliation between *fund balance – total governmental funds* and *net position of governmental activities* as reported in the government-wide statement of net position. One element of that reconciliation explains, "capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and therefore, are not reported in the funds." The details of this difference are as follows:

Cost of capital assets	\$9,502,204
Less: accumulated depreciation	<u>(2,574,548</u>)
Net adjustment to increase fund balance –	
total governmental funds to arrive at	
net position of governmental activities	<u>\$6,927,656</u>

Another element of that reconciliation states, "long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and therefore, are not reported in the funds." The details of this difference are as follows:

Bonds payable	\$ 280,599
Compensated absences	44,572
Net adjustment to reduce <i>fund balance</i> –	
total governmental funds to arrive at	
net position of governmental activities	<u>\$ 325,171</u>

NOTE 2 - RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Explanation of certain differences between the governmental funds statements of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances and the government-wide statement of activities:

The governmental funds statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances includes reconciliation between *net changes in fund balances* – *total governmental funds* and *changes in net position of governmental activities* as reported in the government-wide statement of activities. One element of that reconciliation explains, "governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the statement of activities the costs of these assets are allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation." The details of this difference are as follows:

Capital outlay	\$ 2,332,720
Depreciation expense	(178,253)
Net adjustment to increase net change	
in fund balances – total governmental	
funds to arrive at change in net position of	
governmental activities	<u>\$2,154,467</u>

Another element of that reconciliation states, "some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resource and, therefore, are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds." The details of these differences are as follows:

Compensated absences	\$	(1,515)
Net adjustment to decrease net change		
in fund balances – total governmental		
funds to arrive at change in net position of		
governmental activities	<u>\$</u>	(1,515)

Another element of that reconciliation states, "the issuance of long-term debt (i.e., bonds, leases) provides current financial resources to governmental funds, while the repayment of the principal of long-term debt consumes the current financial resources of governmental funds. Neither transaction, however, has any effect on net position. Also governmental funds report the effect of issuance costs, premiums, discounts, and similar items when debt is first issued, whereas these amounts are deferred and amortized in the statement of activities."

NOTE 2 - RECONCILIATION OF GOVERNMENT-WIDE AND FUND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The details of these differences are as follows:

Principal repayments		
Bonds	\$	75,133
Net adjustment to decrease net change		
in fund balances – total governmental		
funds to arrive at change in net position of		
governmental activities	<u>\$</u>	75,133

NOTE 3 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The City's cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, demand deposits, and highly liquid debt instruments with original maturities of three months or less.

Investment Policies

Florida Statutes, Section 218.415, authorizes the City to invest surplus funds in the following:

- The local Government Surplus Funds Trust Fund, or any intergovernmental investment pool authorized pursuant to the Florida Interlocal Cooperation Act of 1969, as provided in Section 163.01
- Securities and Exchange Commission registered money market funds with the highest credit quality rating from a nationally recognized rating agency.
- Interest-bearing time deposits or savings accounts in qualified public depositories, as defined in Section 280.02.
- Direct obligations of the U.S. Treasury.

Securities of, or other interests in, any open-end or closed-end management-type investment company or investment trust registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, 15 U.S.C. ss.80a-1 et. seq., as amended from time to time, provided that the portfolio of such investment company or investment trust is limited to obligations of the United States Government or any agency or instrumentality thereof and to repurchase agreements fully collateralized by such United States Government obligations, and provided that such investment company or investment trust takes delivery of such collateral either directly or through an authorized custodian.

The City had no investments at September 30, 2016

NOTE 3 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS (continued)

Interest Rate Risk

At September 30, 2016, the City did not hold any deposits or investments other than those disclosed below that were considered to be an interest rate risk.

Credit Risk

At September 30, 2016, the City did not hold any deposits or investments other than those disclosed below that were considered to be a credit risk.

Custodial Risk

At September 30, 2016, the City did not hold any deposits or investments that were considered to be a custodial risk.

Concentration of Credit Risk

As September 30, 2016, the City did not hold any investments that were considered to be a concentration of credit risk.

NOTE 4- ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE, NET

At September 30, 2016, accounts receivable in the governmental funds are summarized as follows:

Total accounts receivable	\$ 6,739
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	
Net accounts receivable	\$ 6,739

At September 30, 2016, accounts receivable in the proprietary funds are summarized as follows:

Accounts receivable	\$ 242,802
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	
Net accounts receivable	\$ 242,802

NOTE 6- CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended September 30, 2016, was as follows:

	September 30, 2015	Increases	Decreases	September 30, 2016
Governmental Activities:				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 237,111	\$	\$	\$ 237,111
Construction in progress	427,336	1,824,203		2,251,539
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	664,447	1,824,203		2,488,650
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings	810,600	179,670		990,270
Improvements	3,627,161	461,310		4,088,471
Machinery and equipment	2,067,276		(132,463)	1,934,813
Total capital assets being depreciated	6,505,037	640,980	(132,463)	7,013,554
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Buildings	(192,940)	(22,056)		(214,996)
Improvements	(692,888)	(94,290)		(787,178)
Machinery and equipment	(1,510,467)	(61,907)		(1,572,374)
Total accumulated depreciation	(2,396,295)	(178,253)		(2,574,548)
Capital assets, being depreciated				
(net of accumulated depreciation)	4,108,742	462,727	(132,463)	4,439,006
Total Governmental activities, capital assets,				
(net of accumulated depreciation)	<u>\$ 4,773,189</u>	<u>\$ 2,286,930</u>	<u>\$ (132,463)</u>	<u>\$ 6,927,656</u>
Business-Type Activities:				
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Land	\$ 288,191	\$	\$	\$ 288,191
Construction in progress	5,193,454			5,193,454
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	5,481,645			5,481,645
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Buildings	10,606			10,606
Improvements	12,555,253	13,500		12,568,753
Machinery and equipment	911,071	14,718		925,789
Total capital assets being depreciated	13,476,930	28,218		13,505,148
Less accumulated depreciation				
Buildings	(10,606)			(10,606)
Improvements	(5,555,098)		—	(5,895,109)
Machinery and equipment	(595,335)			(642,867)
Total accumulated depreciation	(6,161,039)			(6,548,582)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	7,315,891	(359,325)		6,956,566
Total business-type activities', capital	ф 13 - 55 - 5 5 - 5	ф (350 335)	Φ	ф 10 400 011
assets, (net of accumulated depreciation)	<u>\$ 12,797,536</u>	<u>\$ (359,325)</u>	<u>></u>	<u>\$ 12,438,211</u>

NOTE 6 - CAPITAL ASSETS (continued)

	September 30, 2015	Increases	Decreases	September 30, 2016
				T. (1
	Sanitation Fund	Sewer Fund	Water Fund	Total
Land	\$	\$ 270,426	\$ 17,765	\$ 288,191
Construction in progress	—	_	5,193,454	5,193,454
Buildings	—	—	10,606	10,606
Improvements		6,887,774	5,680,979	12,568,753
Machinery and equipment	410,714	66,472	448,603	925,789
Total	410,714	7,224,672	11,351,407	18,986,793
Less accumulated depreciation				
Buildings	_		(10,606)	(10,606)
Improvements	—	(3,166,761)	(2,728,348)	(5,895,109)
Machinery and equipment	(239,500)	(33,844)	(369,523)	(642,867)
Total accumulated depreciation	(239,500)	(3,200,605)	(3,108,477)	(6,548,582)
Total business-type activities', capital assets, (net of accumulated depreciation)	<u>\$ </u>	<u>\$_4,024,067</u>	<u>\$ 8,242,930</u>	<u>\$ 12,438,211</u>

Depreciation expense was charged to governmental activities functions/programs of the primary government as follows:

General government	\$ 6,236
Public safety	30,474
Highways and streets	23,497
Physical environment	18,975
Parks and recreation	 99,071

Total depreciation expense-governmental activities\$ 178,253

Depreciation expense was charged to business-type activities functions/programs of the primary government as follows:

Water	\$ 169,995
Sewer	199,295
Sanitation	18,253

Total depreciation expense	-business-type activities	<u>\$ 387,543</u>
----------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------

NOTE 7- LONG - TERM DEBT – GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

Long-term obligations and debt at September 30, 2016, are as follows:

	Balance September 30, 2015	Additions	Deductions	Balance September 30, 2016	Due Within One Year
Bonds Payable					
2009 Utility Systems Refunding Bonds, to refund note payable to City of Gulf Breeze, Florida Capital funding loan program. Payable in quarterly payments including interest at 4.48% and maturing in April 2021. (See (B) in note 8).			(57,860)	208,893	46,256
2009 Capital improvement Bond to refund note payable to City of Gulf Breeze, Florida Capital funding loan program. Payable i quarterly payments including interest at 4.48% and maturing in April 2021. (See (C) in note	f		(17,273)	71,706	15,474
Total bonds payable	355,732		(75,133)	280,599	61,730
Total bonds payable	555,152		(75,155)	200,377	01,750
Liability for compensatedabsences	43,057	1,515		44,572	9,917
Total governmental activities Long-term obligations	<u>\$ </u>	1,515	<u> (75,133)</u>	<u>\$ 325,171 \$</u>	71,647

Debt service requirements to maturity for the governmental funds at September 30, 2016 are as follows:

Year Ending September 30,	Gove	ernn	nental Fund	ls	
	Principal		Interest		Total
2017	\$ 61,730	\$	12,257	\$	73,987
2018	64,535		9,466		74,001
2019	67,467		6,548		74,015
2020	70,532		3,497		74,029
2021	16,335		561		16,896
Total	\$ 280,599	\$	32,329	\$	312.928

	Original Issue	Balance September 30, 2015	Additions	Reduction	Balance September 30, 2016	Due Within One Year
2013 USDA Bonds See (D) below.	\$ 2,153,000	\$ 2,124,000	\$	\$ (31,440)	\$ 2,092,560	\$ 32,000
2009 Utility System Refunding Bonds. See (B) below.	2,490,000	1,303,312	_	(282,494)	1,020,818	225,841
2009 Capital Improvement Bonds. See (C) below.	830,001	434,541		(84,335)	350,206	75,548
4.875% Sewer System Junior Lien Revenue Bonds, Series 2000, to improve sewer system. See (A) below.	2,303,100	1,881,600		(44,762)	1,836,838	46,890
Total bonds payable <u>business-type activities</u>		<u>\$ 5,743,453</u>	\$ —	<u>\$ (443,031)</u>	\$ 5,300,422	<u>\$ 380,279</u>

A synopsis of long-term debt covenants for the water and sewer bonds follows:

- A. Water and Sewer Revenue Bonds, Series 2000 The bonds are secured by a junior and subordinate pledge of gross revenues of the system. The bonds are due in annual installments each through September 1, 2039; interest at 4.875% per annum is payable annually on September 1.
- B. 2009 Utility Refunding Bond, Series 2009 These bonds were issued to refund the Series 1979, 1980, 1982, and 1995 bonds and the note payable to City of Gulf Breeze, Florida. The bonds mature annually through April 1, 2021. Interest at 4.48% per annum is payable each July 1. The bonds are secured by pledged revenue consisting of net revenue of the water and sewer system, such amounts of non-advalorem revenues as shall be budgeted and appropriated for the payment of the 2009 Utility Note, and any proceeds from the 2009 Utility Note held in any of the funds and accounts created.
- C. 2009 Capital Improvements Bond, Series 2009 These bonds were issued to refund the Series 1979, 1980, 1982, and 1995 bonds and the note payable to City of Gulf Breeze, Florida. The bonds mature annually through April 1, 2021. Interest at 4.48% per annum is payable each July 1. The bonds are secured by pledged revenue consisting of such amounts of non-advalorem revenues as shall be budgeted and appropriated for the payment of the 2009 Capital Improvements Bond, and any proceeds from the 2009 Capital Improvements Bond held in any of the funds and accounts created.

NOTE 8- LONG - TERM DEBT – BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES

D. 2013 USDA Bond, Series 2013 - These bonds were issued to construct a (water) (waste) system. The bonds mature annually through September 1, 2052. Interest at 2.75% per annum is payable each September 1. The bonds are secured by pledged revenue consisting of net revenue of the water and sewer system.

There are a number of limitations and restrictions contained in the various bond indentures, including the establishment of specified debt service sinking and reserve accounts. The City is in compliance with all significant limitations and restrictions.

Debt service requirements to maturity on revenue and capital improvement bonds payable at September 30, 2016.

September 30,		Principal		Interest		Total	
2017	\$	380,279	\$	199,759	\$	580,038	
2018		397,200		182,978		580,178	
2019		414,850		165,443		580,293	
2020		433,262		147,119		580,381	
2021		173,265		131,058		304,323	
2022-2026		522,240		593,514		1,115,754	
2027-2031		641,274		480,291		1,121,565	
2032-2036		788,540		339,980		1,128,520	
2037-2041		645,952		176,751		822,703	
2042-2046		374,000		101,784		475,784	
2047-2051		438,000		48,247		486,247	
2052		91,560		2,456		94,016	
Total	\$	5,300,422	\$	2,569,380	\$	7,869,802	
Notes Payable	Balance September 30, 2015	Addition	S	Deductio	ns	Balance September 30, 2016	Due Within One Year
Florida Department of Environmental Protection tate revolving fund loan. Repayable over 20 years upon completion of vater system improvements. See (A) below.	\$ 1,090,841	\$ —		\$ (141,91	5)_	\$ 948,926	\$ 146,398

NOTE 8- LONG - TERM DEBT – BUSINESS-TYPE ACTIVITIES

A synopsis of significant enterprise fund notes payable follows:

A. The note payable to the State Revolving Fund was made to the City for the purpose of financing the cost of replacing public water system lines. The loan is repayable over 20 years in semiannual installments each January 15 and July 15 of \$87,214 including interest at 3.08%. The loan is secured by pledged revenues of water charges by the utility fund.

Business-type debt service requirements to maturity on notes payable at September 30, 2016 are as follows:

Year Ending						
September 30,		Duincincl		Intonat		Total
2017	\$	Principal 146.397	\$	<u>Interest</u> 28,032	\$	<u>Total</u> 174,429
2017	Ψ	150,928	Ψ	23,501	Ψ	174,429
2019		155,600		18,829		174,429
2020		160,416		14,013		174,429
2021		165,381		9,048		174,429
2022		170,204		3,929		174,133
Total	<u>\$</u>	<u>948,926</u>	\$	97,352	\$	1,046,278

Compensated absences

	Balance September 30, 2015		Additions	Deductions		Se	Balance ptember 30, 2016	Due Within One Year
Liability for compensated								
absences	\$	17,939	\$20,076	\$		\$	38,015	\$ 9,504

NOTE 9 – FUND EQUITY

Net Asset Restrictions

The government-wide statement of net position for governmental activities reports \$241,998 of restricted net position, all of which is restricted by enabling legislation. Business-type activities reports \$1,403,863 of restricted net position, of which, \$1,063,875 is restricted by enabling legislation.

NOTE 9 – FUND EQUITY (continued)

Restricted Fund Equity

Reservations of equity show amounts that are not appropriated for expenditure or are legally restricted for specific uses. The purpose for each is indicated as follows:

Funds	Purpose	Amount
General fund	Impact fees	\$ 138,294
General fund	Law enforcement	1,054
General fund	Construction	102,650
Water fund	Debt service	82,294
Water fund	Impact fees	174,627
Sewer fund	Debt service	257,694
Sewer fund	Impact fees	889,248
Total restricted fund equity	_	\$ 1,645,861

NOTE 10- COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Litigation

The City is a defendant in various lawsuits. Although the outcome of these lawsuits is not presently determinable, in the opinion of the City's attorney, the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the City of Mexico Beach.

Contingent Liability

Amounts received or receivable from grantor agencies are subject to audit and adjustment by grantor agencies. Any disallowed claims, including amounts already collected, may constitute a liability of the applicable funds. The amount, if any, of expenditures which may be disallowed by the grantor cannot be determined at this time although the government expects such amounts, if any, to be minimal.

Conduit Debt Obligations

The City is authorized by the provisions of Chapter 159, Part II, Florida Statutes to make and execute financing agreements which provide assistance to qualified nonprofit corporations to finance and refinance healthcare facilities and other projects described in Section 159.27, Florida Statutes. In December 1997, the City sponsored a \$150,000,000 local government floating rate bond issue from which the proceeds were used to make variable rate loans to those entities described above. Any loans issued for financing these projects are secured by a pledge of the revenue derived from the projects and security interests in such projects.

The bonds are secured by the property finances and are payable solely from payments received on the underlying mortgage loans. Upon repayment of the bonds, ownership of the acquired facilities transfers to the private-sector entity served by the bond issuance. Neither the City, the State, nor any political subdivision thereof is obligated in any manner for repayment of the bonds. Accordingly, the bonds are not reported as liabilities in the accompanying financial statements.

NOTE 11- PENSION PLAN

A. Florida Retirement System

Plan Description – The County contributes to the Florida Retirement System (the FRS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the State of Florida, Department of Administration, Division of Retirement The FRS provides retirement, disability or death benefits to retirees or their designated beneficiaries along with an annual cost-of-living adjustment. Employees are classified in either the regular service class or the senior management service class. Currently, the active participants for Port St. Joe, Florida are 173 out of total of 512,909 active FRS participants.

The Florida Legislature created the Florida Retirement Investment Plan (the "investment Plan"), a defined contribution plan qualified under Section 401 (a) of the Internal Revenue Code. The Investment Plan is administered by the Department of Management Services and is an alternative available to members of the Florida Retirement System in lieu of participation in the defined benefit retirement plan ("the Pension Plan"). If the Investment Plan is elected, active membership in the Pension Plan is terminated. Eligible members of the Investment Plan are vested at one year of service and receive a contribution in an investment product with a third party administrator selected by the State Board of Administration.

Chapter 121, *Florida Statutes*, establishes the authority for benefit provisions and contribution requirements. Changes to the law can only occur through an act of the Florida Legislature. There are uniform contribution rates as discussed on the following page that cover both the defined benefit and defined contribution plans. Information for the required employer contributions made to the Investment Plan were unavailable from FRS.

Additional Financial and Actuarial Information – Additional audited financial information supporting the Schedules of Employer Allocations and the Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer is located in the Florida Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and in the Florida Retirement System Pension Plan, and Other State-Administered Systems CAFR.

See <u>http://www.myfloridacfo.com/Division/AA/Reports/default.htm</u> for an available copy of the Florida CAFR online.

The FRS CAFR and actuarial valuation reports as of July 1, 2016 are available online at <u>http://ww.dms.myflorida.com/workforce_operations/retirement/publications/annual_reports</u>.

NOTE 11- PENSION PLAN (continued)

Reports may also be obtained by contacting the Division of Retirement at:

Department of Management Services Division of Retirement Bureau of Research and Member Communications P O Box 9000 Tallahassee, Florida 32315-900 850-488-4706 or toll free at 877-377-1737

Funding Policy – Prior to July 1, 2011, the FRS was employee noncontributory. Beginning July 1, 2011, employees who are not participating in the Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) are required to contribute 3% of their salary to the FRS. The County is required to contribute at an actuarially-determined rate.

The FRS relies upon contributions from employees and employers, along with investment income, to meet the funding requirements of an actuarially determined accrued liability. As of July 1, 2016, the date of the latest valuation, the FRS funded ratio was 86.50% on the valuation funding basis and 89.70% on a Market Value of Assets basis.

The County also participates in the Retiree Health Insurance Subsidy (HIS) Program, a cost sharing, multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan established under Section 112.363, *Florida Statutes*. The benefit is a monthly cash payment to assist retirees of state-administered retirement systems in paying their health insurance costs.

In addition to the above benefits, the FRS administers a Deferred Retirement Option Program ("DROP"). This program allows eligible employees to defer receipt of monthly retirement benefit payments while continuing employment with a Florida Retirement System employer for a period not to exceed 60 months after electing to participate. DROP benefits are held in the FRS Trust Fund and accrue interest.

The HIS Program is funded by required contributions from FRS participating employers as set by the State Legislature. Employer contributions are a percentage of gross compensation for all active FRS employees and are reported by employers with monthly payroll reports and included with the amount submitted for retirement contributions. For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, the contribution rate was 1.66% of payroll pursuant to Section 112.363, *Florida Statutes*.

The contributions required for the years ended September 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014 were \$172,086, \$169,027 and \$138,668, respectively, which is equal to 100% of the required contribution for each year.

NOTE 11- PENSION PLAN (continued)

Contributions

The contribution requirements of plan members and the City are established and may be amended by the Florida Legislature. Employees are required to contribute 3% of their salary to FRS. The City's contribution rates as of September 30, 2016 were as follows:

	FRS	HIS
Regular Class	7.52%	1.66%
Special Risk Class	22.57%	1.66%
Senior Management Service Class	21.77%	1.66%
Elected Officials	42.47%	1.66%
DROP	12.99%	1.66%

Net Pension Liability – At September 30, 2016, the City reported for its share of the FRS and HIS plans the amount for the net pension liability as shown below:

	FRS	HIS	Total
June 30, 2016	\$1,269,994	\$ 525,915	\$1,795,909
June 30, 2015	\$ 612,261	\$ 442,717	\$1,054,978

The net pension liability for each plan was determined by the plans' actuary and reported in the plans' valuations dated July 1, 2016 and July 1, 2015 for the net pension liability as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

At September 30, 2016, the City reported for its proportionate share of the employer portion for the FRS and HIS net pension liability the percentages below:

June 30, 2016	FRS 0.005029665%	HIS 0.004512518%
June 30, 2015	0.004740202%	0.004341030%
Increase in Share for 2016	0.000289463%	0.000171487%

The City's proportionate share of the net pension liability was based on the City's 2015-2016 fiscal year contributions relative to the 2014-2015 fiscal year contributions of all participating members of FRS.

NOTE 11- PENSION PLAN (continued)

Actuarial Assumptions

The total pension liability for each of the defined benefit plans, measured as of June 30, 2016, was determined by an actuarial valuation dated July 1, 2016, using the individual entry age normal actuarial cost method and the following significant actuarial assumptions:

	FRS	HIS
Inflation	2.60%	2.60%
Salary increases	3.25%	3.25%
Investment rate of return	7.60%	N/A
Discount rate	7.60%	2.85%

Mortality assumptions for both plans were based on the Generational RP-2000 with Projection Scale BB.

For both plans, the actuarial assumptions used in the valuation dated July 1, 2016 were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2008, through June 30, 2013.

The following changes in key actuarial assumptions occurred in 2016:

FRS: The long-term expected rate of return was decreased from 7.65% to 7.6%, and the active member mortality assumption was updated.

HIS: The municipal rate used to determine total pension liability was decreased from 3.8% to 2.85%.

The long-term expected investment rate of return was not based on historical returns, but instead was based on a forward-looking capital market economic model. Each asset class assumption is based on a consistent set of underlying assumptions, and includes an adjustment for the inflation assumption. For the FRS Pension Plan, the table below summarizes the target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic and geometric real rates of return for each major asset class.

Asset Class	Target Allocation (1)	Annual Arithmetic Return	Compound Annual (Geometric) Return
Cash	1.00%	3.0%	3.0%
Fixed Income	18.00%	4.7%	4.6%
Global Equity	53.00%	8.1%	6.8%
Real Estate (Property)	10.00%	6.4%	5.8%
Private Equity	6.00%	11.5%	7.8%
Strategic Investments	12.00%	6.1%	5.6%
Total	100.00%		

NOTE 11- PENSION PLAN (continued)

Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability for the FRS Pension Plan was 7.6%. FRS fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the discount rate for calculating the total pension liability is equal to the long-term expected rate of return.

Because the HIS Program is essentially funded on a pay-as-you-go basis, a municipal bond rate of 2.85% was used to determine the total pension liability for the program. The Bond Buyer General Obligation Bond 20-Bond Municipal Bond Index was used as the applicable municipal bond index.

Sensitivity Analysis – the tables below represent the sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate for Port St. Joe, Florida. The sensitivity analysis shows the impact to the collective net pension liability of the participating employers if the discount rate shows as 1.00% higher or 1.00% lower than the current discounted rate at June 30, 2016.

	FRS Net Pension Liability	
1%	Current	1%
Decrease	Discount Rate	Increase
6.60%	7.60%	8.60%
\$2,338,146	\$ 1,269,994	\$ 380,900
	HIS Net Pension Liability	
1%	Current	1%
Decrease	Discount Rate	Increase
1.85%	2.85%	3.85%
\$ 603,344	\$ 525,915	\$ 461,653

Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows (Inflows) of Resources – In accordance with GASB 68, paragraph 71, changes in the net pension liability are recognized as pension expense in the current measurement period with the following exceptions shown below. For each of the following, a portion is recognized in pension expense in the current measurement period, and the balance is amortized as deferred outflows or inflows of resources using rational method over a time period, as defined below:

- Differences between expected and actual experience with regard to economic and demographic factors which are amortized over the average expected remaining service life of all employees that are provided with pensions through the pension plan, both active and inactive.
- Changes of Assumptions or other inputs which are amortized over the average expected remaining service life of all employees that are provided with pensions through the pension plan, both active and inactive.
- Differences between expected and actual earnings on pension plan investments are amortized over five years.

NOTE 11- PENSION PLAN (continued)

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, the County recognized pension expense of \$193,647 for the FRS plan. In addition the County reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources relate to pensions from the following sources:

Description	Deferred Outflows of Resources for the FRS Plan	Deferred Inflows of Resources for the FRS Plan
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 97,241	\$ (11,825)
Change of assumptions	76,831	
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on FRS Plan investments	584,244	(255,966)
Changes in proportion and differences between City FRS Plan contributions and proportionate share of contributions	110,720	(5,244)
City FRS Plan contributions subsequent to the measurement date	36,183	
Total	<u>\$ 905,219</u>	<u>\$ (273,035)</u>

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to the Pension Plan will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Reporting Period Ending June 30	FRS Expense
2017	\$ 67,567
2018	67,567
2019	195,551
2020	133,833
2021	19,685
Thereafter	6,321

NOTE 11- PENSION PLAN (continued)

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, the City recognized pension expense of \$43,697 for the HIS plan. In addition the City reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred in flows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

Description	Deferred Outflows of Resources for the HIS Plan	0	erred Inflows f Resources the HIS Plan
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ —	\$	(1,198)
Change of assumptions	82,529		
Net difference between projected and actual earnings on HIS Plan investments	266		_
Changes in proportion and differences between City HIS Plan contributions and proportionate share of contributions	33,861		_
City HIS Plan contributions subsequent to the measurement date	6,434		
Total	<u>\$ 123,090</u>	<u>\$</u>	(1,198)

Other amounts reported by the County as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to the HIS Plan will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Reporting		
Period Ending June 30	FRS Expense	
2017	\$ 14,611	
2018	14,611	
2019	14,561	
2020	14,536	
2021	12,576	
Thereafter	10,702	

NOTE 12- OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB)

The City provides health insurance to its active and retired employees (the OPEB Plan). Pursuant to Section 112.0801, Florida Statutes, the City is required to permit participation in the health insurance program by retirees and their eligible dependents at a cost to the retiree that is no greater than the cost at which coverage is available for active employees. Currently, the City funds the OPEB Plan on a pay-as-you-go basis as a current operating expense, and reflects the expense in its financial statements in the fiscal year in which the payments are made. Pursuant to the provisions of GASB 43, *Financial Reporting for Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions*, governments who provide postemployment benefits other than pensions are required to show all or a portion of the liabilities associated with their OPEB Plans in their financial statements and whether, and to what extent, progress is being made in funding those liabilities. The City has no related expense or liability at September 30, 2016.

NOTE 13- RISK MANAGEMENT

The City is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; and natural disasters for which the government carries commercial insurance. Insurance against losses are provided for the following types of risk:

- Workers' compensation and employer's liability
- General and automobile liability
- Real and personal property damage
- Public officials' liability
- Accidental death and dismemberment

The City's coverage of workers' compensation is under a retrospectively rated policy. Premiums are accrued based on the ultimate cost to-date of the City's experience for this type of risk.

NOTE 14- INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT

The City entered into a wastewater treatment contract with Bay County in May 1997, whereby Bay County will exclusively reserve for the City 323,000 gallons per day of allocated wastewater treatment capacity of the Military Point Advanced Wastewater Treatment Facility. The City has agreed to pay for such capacity and treatment services for wastewater collected from City customers.

The City has entered into a memorandum of agreement with the Mexico Beach Community Development Council, Inc. (the Council) for the maintenance of the City's municipal canal and beaches. The agreements which are renewable annually provide for the Council to pay the City \$18,000 and \$15,000 annually respectively, for canal and beach maintenance. Additionally, the City has entered into an agreement with the Council for the maintenance and operation of the City's public television station granted by franchise agreement, at no cost to the Council.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF NET PENSION LIABILITY Last Ten Years* SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Mexico Beach's proportion of the net pension liability	0	2016 .007112489%		2015 0.00816%
Mexico Beach's proportionate share of the net pension liability Mexico Beach's covered-employee payroll	\$ \$	1,795,909 1,427,727	•	1,054,978 1,194,506
Mexico Beach's proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll		125.79%		88.32%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		84.94%		92.00%

* The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined as of 6/30 except for the covered payroll determined as of 9/30. The schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as the information becomes available.

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS Last Ten Fiscal Years* SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

		2016		2015
Contractually required contribution Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ <u>\$</u>	188,403 188,403	\$ <u>\$</u>	169,027 (169,027)
Mexico Beach's covered-employee payroll	\$	1,427,727	\$	1,194,506
Contribution as a percentage of covered-employee payroll		13.20%	, D	14.15%

* The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined as of 6/30 except for the covered payroll determined as of 9/30. The schedule is intended to show information for 10 years. Additional years will be displayed as the information becomes available.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NET POSITON WATER FUND – PROPRIETARY FUND YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 419,786	\$ 497,850
Accounts receivable, net	74,816	90,446
Due from other funds		193,681
Due from other governments	_	70,830
Total Current Assets	494,602	852,807
Restricted assets - cash		
Bond and interest sinking	82,294	82,094
Impact fees	174,627	152,412
Total restricted assets - cash	256,921	234,506
Noncurrent assets		
Capacity rights	—	
Land	17,765	17,765
Property, plant, and equipment	11,333,642	11,333,642
Less accumulated depreciation	(3,108,476)	(2,938,481)
Total non-current Assets	8,242,931	8,412,926
Total Assets	8,994,454	9,500,239
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Employee Pension Contributions	72,432	14,617
Total deferred outflows	72,432	14,617
LIABILITIES		, <u>,</u> _
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	64,710	24,186
Accrued expenses	4,033	2,920
Accrued compensated absences	2,616	1,519
Due to other funds		116,344
Notes payable, current portion	146,397	146,137
Bonds payable, current portion	173,653	123,132
Total current liabilities	391,409	414,238
Current liabilities payable from restricted assets		11,200
Accrued interest	6,166	11,729
Total current liabilities payable from restricted assets	6,166	11,729
Non-current Liabilities	0,100	
Accrued compensated absences	7,846	4,556
Notes payable – due after one year	802,529	944,704
Bonds payable, due after one year	2,563,288	2,816,713
Net pension liability	120,962	52,249
Total non-current liabilities	3,494,625	3,818,222
Total Liabilities	3,892,200	4,244,189
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES		4,244,102
Pension earnings	8,012	8,298
Total Deferred Inflows	8,012	8,298
NET POSITION	0,012	0,290
Net investment in capital assets	4,557,064	4,382,240
Restricted for:	4,557,004	+,302,240
Bond retirement	82,294	82,094
Impact fees	82,294 174,627	82,094 152,412
Unrestricted		645,623
Total Net Position	<u>352,689</u> \$ 5,166,674	<u>\$ 5,262,369</u>
	<u>\$ 3,100,074</u>	<u>\$ 3,404,309</u>

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NET POSITON SEWER FUND – PROPRIETARY FUND YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

		2016	2015
ASSETS			
Current assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	640,158	\$ 891,406
Accounts receivable, net		110,177	97,362
Due from other funds			3,864
Total current assets		750,335	992,632
Restricted assets - cash			
Bond and interest sinking		257,694	240,900
Impact fees		889,248	834,183
Total restricted assets - cash		1,146,942	1,075,083
Noncurrent assets			
Capacity rights		54,187	54,187
Land		270,426	270,426
Property, plant, and equipment		6,954,246	6,940,746
Less accumulated depreciation		(3,200,606)	(3,001,311)
Total non-current Assets		4,078,253	4,264,048
Total Assets		5,975,530	6,331,763
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES			
Employee Pension Contributions		94,521	14,916
Total deferred outflows		94,521	14,916
LIABILITIES			
Current Liabilities			
Accounts payable		774	109,331
Accrued expenses		3,360	3,027
Accrued compensated absences		2,385	1,018
Due to other funds			241,727
Bonds payable, current portion		206,626	177,419
Total current liabilities		213,145	532,522
Current liabilities payable from restricted assets		210,110	
Accrued interest		6,108	7,288
Total current liabilities payable from restricted assets		6,108	7,288
Non-current Liabilities		0,100	7,200
Accrued compensated absences		7,155	3,055
Bonds payable, due after one year		2,356,856	2,626,189
Net pension liability		147,930	53,319
Total non-current liabilities		2,511,941	2,682,563
Total Liabilities		2,731,194	3,222,373
Total Liabilities		2,731,194	
DEFERRED INFLOWS			
Deferred utility income		8.074	8.468
		0,0, .	0,100
Total Deferred Inflows		8,074	8,468
NET POSITION			
Net investment in capital assets		1,514,771	1,406,253
Restricted for:		-,,	-,,====
Bond retirement		257,694	240,900
Impact fees		889,248	834,183
Unrestricted		669,070	<u>634,502</u>
Total Net Position	¢	3,330,783	<u>\$ 3.115.838</u>
	54 <u>*</u>	<u></u>	<u>0-00,011,000</u>

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF NET POSITON – SANITATION FUND – PROPRIETARY FUND YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 136,393	\$ 345,498
Accounts receivable, net	57,809	43,661
Due from other funds		736
Total current assets	194,202	389,895
Noncurrent assets		
Property, plant, and equipment	410,714	395,996
Less accumulated depreciation	(239,501)	(221, 248)
Total non-current Assets	171,213	174,748
Total assets	365,415	564,643
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Employee Pension Contributions	79,840	19,692
Total deferred outflows	79,840	19,692
LIABILITIES		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	19,832	11,216
Accrued expenses	4,956	4,519
Accrued compensated absences	4,503	1,948
Due to other funds		159,673
Total current liabilities	29,291	177,356
Non-current Liabilities		
Accrued compensated absences	13,510	5,843
Net pension liability	141,877	70,391
Total non-current liabilities	155,387	76,234
Total Liabilities	184,678	253,590
DEFERRED INFLOWS		
Deferred utility income	10,882	11,180
Total Deferred Inflows	10,882	11,180
	10,002	
NET POSITION		
Net investment in capital assets	171,213	174,748
Unrestricted	78,482	144,817
Total Net Position	<u>\$ 249,695</u>	<u>\$ 319,565</u>

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION – WATER FUND – PROPRIETARY FUND YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

		2016		2015
OPERATING REVENUES				
Charges for services	\$	1,024,320	\$	1,031,192
New installations		10,920		16,830
Reconnections		9,175		9,675
Impact fees		22,050		34,300
Other utility income		7,556		10,357
Total operating revenues		1,074,021		1,102,354
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Personnel services		255,655		183,372
Professional services		11,170		13,276
Utilities		4,601		14,186
Insurance		30,770		28,359
Repairs and maintenance		34,863		33,858
Operating supplies		344,554		80,631
Communication services		9,595		11,049
Public utility services		211,117		234,062
Transportation				
Tipping fees				
Rentals		1,402		960
Miscellaneous		11,828		10,821
Depreciation and amortization		169,995		170,276
Total operating expenses		1,085,550		780,886
Operating income	_	(11,529)		321,468
NONOPERATING REVENUES (expenses)				
Interest income		760		915
Interest expense		(84,926)		(120,490)
Grant revenue				
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)		(84,166)		(119,575)
Change in net position		(95,695)		201,893
Net position - beginning		5,262,369		5,103,440
Net position - ending	<u>\$</u>	<u>5,166,674</u>	<u>\$</u>	5,262,369

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION – SEWER FUND – PROPRIETARY FUND YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	2016	2015
OPERATING REVENUES		
Charges for services	\$ 1,234,471	\$ 1,218,003
New installations	12,495	24,339
Impact fees	8,205	86,205
Other utility income	54,186	15,501
Total operating revenues	1,309,357	1,344,048
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Personnel services	322,655	143,957
Professional services	11,170	13,276
Utilities	47,819	30,550
Insurance	18,260	18,260
Repairs and maintenance	41,045	47,091
Operating supplies	61,932	54,877
Communication services	675	766
Public utility services	312,845	442,944
Transportation		
Tipping fees	259	
Rentals	1,201	960
Miscellaneous	6,327	5,647
Depreciation and amortization	199,295	197,018
Total operating expenses	1,023,483	955,538
Operating income	285,874	388,510
NONOPERATING REVENUES (expenses)		
Interest income	2,111	1,942
Interest expense	(73,040)	(120,543)
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)	(70,929)	(118,601)
Change in net position	214,945	269,909
Net position - beginning	3,115,838	2,889,772
Net position - ending	<u>\$ 3,330,783</u>	<u>\$ 3,115,838</u>

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION – SANITATION FUND – PROPRIETARY FUND YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	2016	2015
OPERATING REVENUES		
Charges for services	\$ 659,892	\$ 443,809
Other utility income	2,527	94,217
Total operating revenues	662,419	538,026
OPERATING EXPENSES	002,417	
Personnel services	447,859	311,951
Professional services	7,149	8,359
Utilities	104,601	24,359
Insurance	8,935	9,035
Repairs and maintenance	17,069	16,117
Operating supplies	44,842	47,557
Communication services	1,127	1,608
Tipping fees	68,502	96,626
Rentals	—	
Miscellaneous	13,952	5,137
Depreciation	18,253	19,844
Total operating expenses	732,289	540,593
Operating income	(69,870)	(2,567)
NONOPERATING REVENUES (expenses)		
Interest income		389
Total nonoperating revenues (expenses)		389
Change in net position	(69,870)	(2,178)
Net position - beginning	319,565	379,625
Net position - ending	<u>\$ 249,695</u>	<u>\$ 319,565</u>

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF CASH FLOWS WATER FUND – PROPRIETARY FUND

	2016	2015
Operating Activities	*	*
Receipts from customer and users	\$ 1,089,651	\$ 1,066,264
Payments to suppliers and others	(458,083)	(399,237)
Payments for personnel services	(252,669)	(185,011)
Net cash provided by operating activities	378,899	482,016
Capital and related financing activities		
Principal paid on bonds	(202,904)	(138,342)
Principal paid on notes and leases	(141,915)	(137,643)
Interest paid on notes and leases	(90,489)	(128,235)
Purchase of capital assets		(4,800)
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	(435,308)	(409,020)
Investing activities		
Interest received	760	915
Net cash provided by investing activities	760	915
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(55,649)	73,911
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning	732,356	658,445
Cash and cash equivalents, ending	<u> </u>	<u>\$ 732,356</u>
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash provided by operating activities		
Operating income	(11,529)	321,468
Adjustment to reconcile operating income to net cash		
provided by operating activities		
Depreciation	169,995	170,276
(Increase) decrease in assets		
Accounts receivable, net	15,630	(3,090)
Due from other funds	193,681	(13,455)
Due from governmental funds	70,830	
Deferred outflows	(57,815)	
(Increase) decrease in liabilities		
Accounts payable	40,524	3,560
Accrued expenses	2,210	2,040
Due to other funds	(116,344)	45,284
Deferred inflows	(286)	(45,706)
Accrued compensated absences	3,290	1,639
Net Pension	68,713	
Total adjustments	390,428	160,548
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>\$ 378,899</u>	<u>\$ 482,016</u>

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF CASH FLOWS SEWER FUND – PROPRIETARY FUND YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	2016	2015
Operating Activities	ф. 1.00 <i>с</i> .540	ф. 1 52 с 5 00
Receipts from customer and users	\$ 1,296,542	\$ 1,536,580
Payments to suppliers and others	(830,999)	(694,280)
Payments for personnel services	(319,197)	(144,146)
Net cash provided by operating activities	146,346	698,154
Capital and related financing activities		
Principal paid on bonds	(240,126)	(204,081)
Interest paid on bonds, notes, and leases	(74,220)	(123,218)
Purchase of capital assets	(13,500)	(57,220)
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	(327,846)	(384,519)
Investing activities		
Interest received	2,111	1,942
Net cash provided by investing activities	2,111	1,942
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(179,389)	315,577
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning	1,966,489	1,650,912
Cash and cash equivalents, ending	<u>\$ 1,787,100</u>	<u>\$ 1,966,489</u>
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash		
provided by operating activities		
Operating income	285,874	388,510
Adjustment to reconcile operating income to net cash		
provided by operating activities		
Depreciation	199,295	197,018
(Increase) decrease in assets		
Accounts receivable, net	(12,815)	(2,192)
Due from other funds	3,864	(1,192)
Deferred outflows	(79,605)	—
(Increase) decrease in liabilities		
Accounts payable	(108,557)	74,171
Accrued expenses	1,700	2,610
Due to other funds	(241,727)	41,611
Deferred inflows	(394)	(2,571)
Accrued compensated absences	4,100	73
Net Pension	94,611	
Total adjustments	(139,528)	309,644
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>\$ 146,346</u>	<u>\$ </u>

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA COMPARATIVE SCHEDULE OF CASH FLOWS SANITATION FUND – PROPRIETARY FUND YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

	2016	2015
Operating Activities		
Receipts from customer and users	\$ 648,271	\$ 484,094
Payments to suppliers and others	(394,799)	(72,810)
Payments for personnel services	(447,859)	(311,878)
Net cash provided by operating activities	(194,387)	99,406
Capital and related financing activities		
Purchase of capital assets	(14,718)	
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	(14,718)	
Investing activities		
Interest received		389
Net cash provided by investing activities		389
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(209,105)	99,795
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning	345,498	245,703
Cash and cash equivalents, ending	<u>\$ 136,393</u>	<u>\$ 345,498</u>
Reconciliation of operating income to net cash		
provided by operating activities		
Operating income	(69,870)	(2,567)
Adjustment to reconcile operating income to net cash		
provided by operating activities		
Depreciation	18,253	19,844
(Increase) decrease in assets		
Accounts receivable, net	(14,148)	(231)
Due from other funds	736	
Deferred outflows	(60,140)	_
(Increase) decrease in liabilities		
Accounts payable, net	8,616	1,662
Accrued expenses	2,992	3,448
Due to other funds	(159,673)	78,166
Deferred inflows	(298)	(989)
Accrued compensated absences	7,667	41
Net Pension	71,478	
Total adjustments	(124,517)	101,973
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>\$ (194.387)</u>	<u>\$ 99,406</u>

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Vance CPA LLC

Certified Public Accountant 219-B Avenue E • Apalachicola, FL 32320 Phone (888)531-6408 Fax (866)-406-7422

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INVESTMENT COMPLIANCE

Honorable Mayor and Members of the Of the City Commission City of Mexico Beach, Florida

We have examined the City of Mexico Beach, Florida's compliance with Section 218.415, Florida Statutes, regarding the investment of public funds during the year ended September 30, 2016. Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the City's compliance with specified requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements for the year ended September 30, 2016.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the City and the Auditor General, State of Florida, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Vance CPQ LLC

Vance CPA LLC June 27, 2017

Vance CPA LLC

Certified Public Accountant

219-B Avenue E • Apalachicola, FL 32320 Phone (888)531-6408 Fax (866)-406-7422

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S MANAGEMENT LETTER

Honorable Mayor and Members of the Of the City Commission City of Mexico Beach, Florida

We have audited the financial statements of the City of Mexico, Florida as of and for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, and have issued our report thereon dated June 27, 2017.

Auditor's Responsibility

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and Chapter 10.550, Rules of the Auditor General.

Other Reports and Schedule

We have issued our Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards;* Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance; Schedule of Findings and Responses; and Independent Auditor's Report on an examination conducted in accordance with *AICPA Professional Standards,* Section 601, regarding compliance requirements in accordance with Chapter 10.550, Rules of the Auditor General. Disclosures in those reports and schedule, which are dated June 30, 2016, should be considered in conjunction with this management letter.

Prior Audit Findings:

Section 10.554(1)(i)1., Rules of the Auditor General, requires that we determine whether or not corrective actions have been taken to address findings and recommendations made in the preceding annual financial audit report. Findings 14-001 and 14-002 were prior year findings which were resolved.

Official Title and Legal Authority

Section 10.554(1)(i)4., Rules of the Auditor General, requires that the name or official title and legal authority for the primary government and each component unit of the reporting entity be disclosed in this management letter, unless disclosed in the notes to the financial statement. This information is disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.

Financial Condition

Section 10.554(1)(i)5.a., and 10.556(7), Rules of the Auditor General, requires that we report the results of our determination as to whether or not the City of Mexico Beach, Florida has met one or more of the conditions described in Section 218.503(1), Florida Statutes, and identification of the specific conditions met. In connection with our audit, we determined that the City of Mexico Beach, Florida was not in a state of financial emergency, as it did not meet any of the conditions described in Section 218.503(1), Florida Statutes.

Pursuant to Sections 10.554(1)(i)5.c. and 10556(8), Rules of the Auditor General, we applied financial condition assessment procedures. It is management's responsibility to monitor the City of Mexico Beach's financial condition, and our financial condition assessment was based in part on representations made by management and the review of financial information provided by same.

Annual Financial Report

Section 10.554(1)(i)5.b., and 10.556(7), Rules of the Auditor General, requires that we report the results of our determination as to whether the annual financial report for the City of Mexico Beach, Florida for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016, filed with the Florida Department of Financial Services pursuant to Section 218.32(1)(a), Florida Statutes, is in agreement with the annual financial audit report for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016. In connection with our audit, we determined that these two reports were in agreement.

Other Matters

Section 10.554(1)(i)2., Rules of the Auditor General, requires that we address in the management letter any recommendations to improve financial management. In connection with our audit, we did not have any such recommendations.

Section 10.554(1)(i)3., Rules of the Auditor General, requires that we address noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, or abuse, that have occurred, or are likely to have occurred, that have an effect on the financial statements that is less than material but which warrants the attention of those charged with governance. In connection with our audit, we did not have any such findings.

Purpose of this Letter

Our management letter is intended solely for the information and use of the Legislative Auditing Committee, members of the Florida Senate and the Florida House of Representatives, the Florida Auditor General, Federal and other granting agencies, the City Council, and applicable management, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Vance CPG LLC

Vance CPA LLC June 27, 2017

Vance CPA LLC

Certified Public Accountant

219-B Avenue E • Apalachicola, FL 32320 Phone (888)531-6408 Fax (866)-406-7422

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Mexico Beach, Florida

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, and each major fund, of the City of Mexico Beach, Florida, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Mexico Beach, Florida's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated June 27, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City of Mexico Beach, Florida's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Mexico Beach, Florida's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Mexico Beach, Florida's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Mexico Beach, Florida's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instance of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vance CPG LLC

Vance CPA LLC June 27, 2017

Vance CPA, LLC

Certified Public Accountant 219-B Avenue E Apalachicola, FL 32320 Tel. (888) 531-6408 • Fax (866) 406-7422

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR EACH STATE PROJECT, REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE, REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE AND CHAPTER 10.550 RULES OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Mexico Beach, Florida

Report on Compliance for Each State Program

We have audited Mexico Beach, Florida's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the Florida Department of Financial Services *State Projects Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's state projects for the year ended September 30, 2016. The City's state projects are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulation, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's state programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits and contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and Chapter 10.550 Rules of the Auditor General. Those standards, and Chapter 10.550, Rules of the Auditor General, require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a state project occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each state project. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Mexico Beach, Florida's compliance.

Opinion on Each State Project

In our opinion, Mexico Beach, Florida complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its state projects for the year ended September 30, 2016.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintain effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each state project to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each state project and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance Chapter 10.550, *Rules of the Auditor General*, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state project on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state project will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a state project that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of Chapter 10.550, *Rules of the Auditor General*. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance Required by the Uniform Guidance and Chapter 10.550, Rules of the Auditor General

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Mexico Beach, Florida, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016, and the notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated June 27, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance is presented for the purposes of additional analysis as required by Chapter 10.550, *Rules of the Auditor General*, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Vance CPQ LLC

Vance CPA LLC June 27, 2017

MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

State Financial Assistance Projects	CSFA #	Contract/Grant Number	Expenditures
Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission			
Florida Boating Improvement Grant	77.006	FWC-15081	1.070.018
	77.000	SAJ-1988-60104	208,171
Artificial Reefs Grants Program	//.00/	SAJ-1988-00104	200,171
Total Florida Fish & Wildlife Conservation Commission			1,278,189
Florida Department of Environmental Protection Florida Recreation Development Assistance Program			
Under the Palms Part	37.017	A-6108	50,000
Mexico Beach Park	37.017	LP40010	4,800
Statewide Surface Water Restoration and			
and Waste Water Protection	37.039	14-076	430,622
Total Florida Department of Environmental Protection			485,422
Total State of Florida Financial Assistance			1,763,611

MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES STATE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Note 1 – <u>General</u>

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of State Financial Assistance (the Schedule) presents the activity of all state financial assistance programs of Mexico Beach, Florida (the City). The City reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the City's basic financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2016. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 10.550, Rules of the Auditor General. Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows for the county.

Note 2 – <u>Basis of Accounting</u>

The accompanying Schedule is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting, which is described in Note 1 to the City's basic financial statements for the year ended September 30, 2016.

MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Section 1 – Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued Internal control over financial reporting	Unmodified	
Material weakness(es) identified? Significant deficiency(ies)identified not	yes	<u>X</u> no
considered to be material weaknesses?	yes	<u>X</u> none reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted:	yes	<u>X</u> no
Federal Awards and State Financial Assistance		
Internal control over major programs material weakness(es) identified?	yes	<u>X</u> no
Significant deficiency (ies) identified not	·	
considered to be material weaknesses?	yes	<u>X</u> none Reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance		
for major programs	Unmodified	
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2CFR 200.516(a) or		
Rules of the Auditor General?	yes	<u>X</u> no

Identification of major federal awards/state financial assistance projects:

CFDA/CSFA Number	Name of Federal Award or State Financial Assistance Project
77.006	Florida Boating Improvement Grant
37.039	Statewide Surface Water Restoration & Waste Water Protection

(continued)

MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES (continued) YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs	\$300,000 State	
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	yes	<u>X</u> none
Section II – Financial Statement Findings		
See Summary Schedule of Current Year Findings		
Section III – Findings and Questioned Costs – Major Federal Award Pro	ograms	

No findings or questioned costs in the current year.

Section IV – Findings and Questioned Costs – Major State Financial Assistance Projects

No findings or questioned costs in the current year.

Section V – Other Issues

No Corrective Action Plan is required because there were no findings required to be reported under 2 CFR 200.516(a) or the Florida single Audit Act.

MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS SEPTEMBER 30, 2016

No prior year audit findings.